

from the Tax Policy Center

Exempting Dividends, Interest, and Capital Gains From Taxation

By Peter R. Orszag

The 2001 and 2003 tax laws included various provisions — such as reduced tax rates on dividends and capital gains — intended to reduce the tax burden on capital. Proposals to move the tax system even closer to eliminating the tax on capital income have recently been discussed.

The table below shows the estimated direct effect, using the Tax Policy Center microsimulation model, from exempting capital gains, dividends, and interest income from tax. The results highlight two key findings. First, the tax cut for most tax units is modest. Only 41 percent of tax units would experience a tax cut. Even in the middle 20 percent of the income distribution, the average tax cut is only \$70 in 2004.

Second, high-income households would receive a substantial tax cut. The highest-income 1 percent would

receive an average tax cut of more than \$50,000 in 2004. The top one taxpayer in 1,000 taxpayers would receive more than 30 percent of the total tax cut, averaging almost \$300,000 in 2004.

Abnormally large capital gains realizations raise cash income and would be granted a substantial tax reduction if capital gains were not taxed. This factor, however, does little to explain the concentration of benefits: Even when tax units are sorted based on cash income excluding realized capital gains, the top 0.1 percent of tax units receive 26 percent of the total tax cut.

It is important to emphasize that these direct effects do not incorporate the full impact of proposals to exempt capital income from taxation. Additional effects would come from any induced new private saving, from the increase in the budget deficit if the tax cuts were not offset, and from tax sheltering opportunities that would arise if interest deductions continued to be allowed despite exempting the return on capital from taxation.

Exempt Dividends, Capital Gains, and Interest Income From the Federal Income Tax Distribution of Individual Income, Corporate, and Estate Tax Change by Cash Income Percentiles, 2004^a

Cash Income Class ^b	Percent of Tax Units With Income Tax Cut	Percent Change in After-Tax Income ^c	Percent of Total Tax Change	Average Tax Change (\$)	Average Federal Tax Rate ^d	
					Pre-EGTRRA	Current Law
Lowest Quintile	2.2	0.0	0.0	0	3.3	3.3
Second Quintile	15.4	0.1	0.4	-19	7.3	7.2
Middle Quintile	34.7	0.2	1.5	-70	13.9	13.6
Fourth Quintile	65.1	0.8	7.7	-366	18.2	17.6
Top Quintile	86.0	3.3	90.4	-4,276	23.9	21.3
All	40.7	2.1	100.0	-946	20.1	18.4
Addendum						
Top 10 Percent	91.0	4.2	82.1	-7,765	25.2	22.0
Top 5 Percent	93.1	5.3	74.3	-14,071	26.3	22.4
Top 1 Percent	96.2	7.6	55.1	-52,100	28.2	22.8
Top 0.5 Percent	97.8	8.4	46.7	-88,313	28.9	22.9
Top 0.1 Percent	98.4	10.1	31.1	-294,744	30.5	23.4

Source: Urban-Brookings Tax Policy Center Microsimulation Model (version 0304-2).

^aCalendar year.

^bTax units with negative cash income are excluded from the lowest quintile but are included in the totals. Includes both filing and nonfiling units. Tax units that are dependents of other taxpayers are excluded from the analysis. For a description of cash income, see <http://www.taxpolicycenter.org/TaxModel/income.cfm>.

^cAfter-tax income is cash income less: individual income tax net of refundable credits; corporate income tax; payroll taxes (Social Security and Medicare); and estate tax.

^dAverage federal tax (individual income tax, net of refundable credits; corporate income tax; payroll taxes (Social Security and Medicare); and estate tax) as a percentage of average cash income.



Tax Policy Center

Urban Institute and Brookings Institution

The Tax Policy Center, a joint venture of the Urban Institute and the Brookings Institution, provides independent, timely, and accessible analysis of current and emerging tax policy issues for the public, journalists, policymakers, and academic researchers. For more tax facts, see <http://www.taxpolicycenter.org/taxfacts>.