

Table T11-0138
Effect of the AMT on 2001–2010 Individual Income Tax Cuts, 2012¹

Cash Income Class (thousands of 2011 dollars) ²	Tax Units ³		Percent of Tax Units with No Cut Due to AMT ⁴	Percent of Tax Units with Smaller Tax Cut Due to AMT ⁵	Percent of Tax Cut Taken Back by AMT ⁶
	Number (Thousands)	Percent of Total			
Less than 30	72,816	44.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
30-50	31,335	19.0	0.2	1.0	0.4
50-75	20,187	12.2	1.5	8.7	3.4
75-100	13,838	8.4	5.1	31.3	14.8
100-200	18,707	11.3	13.7	63.3	52.4
200-500	5,808	3.5	24.4	70.0	73.6
500-1,000	1,003	0.6	4.7	56.6	29.5
More than 1,000	503	0.3	1.9	26.7	5.2
All	165,201	100.0	3.1	13.9	27.4

Source: Urban-Brookings Tax Policy Center Microsimulation Model (version 0411-1).

(1) Data are for calendar year 2012. The effect of the AMT on the 2001-2010 individual income tax cuts is determined by comparing a) the reduction in individual income tax liability between pre-EGTRRA law and 2011 tax law, both with no AMT and b) the reduction in tax liability between the two laws with the AMT in effect for both.

(2) Tax units with negative cash income are excluded from the lowest income class but are included in the totals. For a description of cash income, see

<http://www.taxpolicycenter.org/TaxModel/income.cfm>.

(3) Includes both filing and non-filing units. Tax units that are dependents of other taxpayers are excluded from the analysis.

(4) Percentage of tax units who get a tax cut in the absence of AMT but do not get a tax cut with AMT; i.e., units for whom the AMT takes away all of the regular tax cuts.

(5) Percentage of tax units for whom the tax cut with AMT is lesser than the tax cut in the absence of AMT; i.e., units for whom the AMT takes away some but not all of the regular tax cuts.

(6) Percentage reduction in the aggregate tax cut for the income group as a result of the AMT.