Cash Income Class (thousands of 2012 dollars) <sup>2</sup>	Tax Units <sup>3</sup>		Percent of Tax Units	Percent of Tax Units	Percent of Tax
	Number (Thousands)	Percent of Total	with No Cut Due to AMT <sup>4</sup>	with Smaller Tax Cut Due to AMT <sup>5</sup>	Cut Taken Back by AMT <sup>6</sup>
Less than 30	60,832	38.7	0.0	0.2	0.1
30-50	30,913	19.6	1.2	0.8	1.1
50-75	24,352	15.5	1.7	7.2	3.4
75-100	14,600	9.3	3.0	31.6	12.7
100-200	19,687	12.5	6.8	69.8	43.7
200-500	4,954	3.2	17.8	77.5	69.4
500-1,000	767	0.5	0.5	75.5	27.4
More than 1,000	458	0.3	0.1	33.7	5.7
All	157,370	100.0	2.2	15.9	23.7

## Table T12-0176 Effect of the AMT on 2001–2010 Individual Income Tax Cuts, 2012 $^1$

Source: Urban-Brookings Tax Policy Center Microsimulation Model (version 0412-7).

(1) Data are for calendar year 2012. The effect of the AMT on the 2001-2010 individual income tax cuts is determined by comparing a) the reduction in individual income tax liability between pre-EGTRRA law and 2011 tax law, both with no AMT and b) the reduction in tax liability between the two laws with the AMT in effect for both.

(2) Tax units with negative cash income are excluded from the lowest income class but are included in the totals. For a description of cash income, see

http://www.taxpolicycenter.org/TaxModel/income.cfm.

(3) Includes both filing and non-filing units. Tax units that are dependents of other taxpayers are excluded from the analysis.

(4) Percentage of tax units who get a tax cut in the absence of AMT but do not get a tax cut with AMT; i.e., units for whom the AMT takes away all of the regular tax cuts.

(5) Percentage of tax units for whom the tax cut with AMT is lesser than the tax cut in the absence of AMT; i.e., units for whom the AMT takes away some but not all of the regular tax cuts.

(6) Percentage reduction in the aggregate tax cut for the income group as a result of the AMT.