## 

|  |  |  | Health Care Payments |  | Direct Subsidies Provided by Government |  |  | Employee Tax Payments |  | Employee Totals |  |  |  | Employer Payments |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FPL $^{1}$ |  | Compensation: Cash Income ${ }^{2}$ | Value of Silver Plan Premium (paid by employee using subsidy received) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Average Value } \\ \text { of Cost Share } \\ \text { (paid by } \\ \text { employee } \\ \text { using subsidy } \\ \text { received) } \end{array}$ | Senate Finance Committee proposed Percent of Income allocated to Premium | Value of Subsidy Received ${ }^{3}$ | Value of Cost Share Subsidy Received ${ }^{4}$ | Payroll Taxes paid by employee | Income Tax Paid by Employee ${ }^{5}$ | Cash Income + <br> Direct Gov't <br> Subsidies <br> Employer <br> Provided dealth <br> Benefits <br> $(\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{F}+\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{O})$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Health Care } \\ \text { Costs Paid by } \\ \text { Employee } \\ \text { (C+D-E-G-O) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Payments } \\ & \text { made by } \\ & \text { Employee } \\ & (\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{K}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Net After-tax, After-health cost Income ${ }^{8}$ (J-L-F-G-O) | Compensation: Cash Income | Health Care Benefits Provided by Employer | Maximum <br> Employer <br> Penalty ${ }^{6}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Payroll Taxes } \\ \text { Paid by } \\ \text { Employer } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total Cost to } \\ \text { Employer } \\ (\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{P}+\mathrm{Q}) \end{gathered}$ | Percent of Cash and Health Benefits/ Subsidies Spent on Health Care ((C+D)/J) |
| (A) |  | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | (G) | (H) | (1) | (J) | (K) | (L) | (M) | (N) | (0) | (P) | (Q) | (R) | (S) |
| 100 | \$ | 11,800 | 5,000 | 1,700 | 2.10\% | 4,752 | 1,200 | 903 | (50) | 17,752 | 748 | 1,601 | 10,199 | 11,800 | \$ - | 400 | 903 | 13,103 | 37.74\% |
| 125 | \$ | 14,700 | 5,000 | 1,700 | 3.40\% | 4,500 | 1,200 | 1,125 | 455 | 20,400 | 1,000 | 2,580 | 12,120 | 14,700 | \$ - | 400 | 1,125 | \$ 16,225 | 32.84\% |
| 150 | \$ | 17,700 | 5,000 | 1,700 | 4.80\% | 4,150 | 1,200 | 1,354 | 755 | 23,050 | 1,350 | 3,459 | 14,241 | 17,700 | \$ - | 400 | 1,354 | \$ 19,454 | 29.07\% |
| 175 | \$ | 20,600 | 5,000 | 1,700 | 6.31\% | 3,700 | 700 | 1,576 | 1,113 | 25,000 | 2,300 | 4,988 | 15,612 | 20,600 | \$ - | 400 | 1,576 | \$ 22,576 | 26.80\% |
| 200 | \$ | 23,600 | 5,000 | 1,700 | 7.50\% | 3,230 | 700 | 1,805 | 1,563 | 27,530 | 2,770 | 6,138 | 17,462 | 23,600 | \$ - | 400 | 1,805 | \$ 25,805 | 24.34\% |
| 225 | \$ | 26,500 | 5,000 | 1,700 | 8.68\% | 2,700 | \$ - | 2,027 | 1,998 | 29,200 | 4,000 | 8,025 | 18,475 | 26,500 | \$ - | 400 | 2,027 | \$ 28,927 | 22.95\% |
| 250 | \$ | 29,500 | 5,000 | 1,700 | 10.10\% | 2,021 | \$ - | 2,257 | 2,448 | 31,521 | 4,680 | 9,384 | 20,116 | 29,500 | \$ - | 400 | 2,257 | \$ 32,157 | 21.26\% |
| 275 | \$ | 32,400 | 5,000 | 1,700 | 11.42\% | 1,300 | \$ - | 2,479 | 2,883 | 33,700 | 5,400 | 10,761 | 21,639 | 32,400 | \$ - | 400 | 2,479 | \$ 35,279 | 19.88\% |
| 300 | \$ | 35,400 | 5,000 | 1,700 | 12.80\% | 469 | \$ - | 2,708 | 3,333 | 35,869 | 6,231 | 12,272 | 23,128 | 35,400 | \$ - | 400 | 2,708 | \$ 38,508 | 18.68\% |
| 325 | \$ | 38,300 | 5,000 | 1,700 | 12.80\% | \$ 98 | \$ - | 2,930 | 3,768 | 38,398 | 6,602 | 13,300 | 25,000 | 38,300 | \$ - | 400 | 2,930 | \$ 41,630 | 17.45\% |
| 350 | \$ | 41,300 | 5,000 | 1,700 | 12.80\% | s | \$ | 3,159 | 4,218 | 41,300 | 6,700 | 14,077 | 27,223 | 41,300 | \$ - | 400 | 3,159 | \$ 44,859 | 16.22\% |
| 375 | \$ | 44,200 | 5,000 | 1,700 | 12.80\% | \$ | \$ | 3,381 | 4,653 | 44,200 | 6,700 | 14,734 | 29,466 | 44,200 | \$ - | 400 | 3,381 | \$ 47,981 | 15.16\% |
| 400 | \$ | 47,200 | 5,000 | 1,700 | 12.80\% | \$ - | \$ | 3,611 | 5,113 | 47,200 | 6,700 | 15,423 | 31,777 | 47,200 | \$ - | 400 | 3,611 | \$ 51,211 | 14.19\% |
| 425 | \$ | 50,100 | 5,000 | 1,700 | n/a | \$ - | \$ | 3,833 | 5,838 | 50,100 | 6,700 | 16,370 | 33,730 | 50,100 | \$ - | 400 | 3,833 | \$ 54,333 | 13.37\% |
| 450 | \$ | 53,100 | 5,000 | 1,700 | n/a | s - | \$ - | 4,062 | 6,588 | 53,100 | 6,700 | 17,350 | 35,750 | 53,100 | \$ - | 400 | 4,062 | 57,562 | 12.62\% |


| Employee and Employer Payments Under Current Health SystemIndividual, 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Health Care Payments |  |  |  | Direct Subsidies Provided by Government |  |  |  |  | Employee Tax Payments |  |  | Employee Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Employer Payments |  |  |  |  |  | Percent of Cash and Health Benefits/ Subsidies Spent onHealth Care ((C+D)/J) |
| FPL | Cash Income ${ }^{7}$ |  | Value of Silver Plan Premium (paid by employer) |  | Average Value of Cost Share (paid by employee) |  | Senate Finance <br> Committee <br> proposed Percent <br> of Income <br> allocated to <br> Premium <br> (E) | Value of Subsidy Received |  | Value of Cost Share Subsidy Received |  | Payroll Taxes paid by employee | Income Tax Paid by Employee ${ }^{5}$ |  | Cash Income + <br> Direct Gov't <br> Subsidies + <br> Employer <br> Provided Health <br> Benefits <br> (B+F+G+O) |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Health Care } \\ \text { Costs paid by } \\ \text { Employee } \\ (\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{G}-\mathrm{O}) \end{array}\right\|$ |  | Payments made by Employee$(\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{K})$ |  | Net After-tax after-health cost Income ${ }^{8}$ (J-L-F-G-O) |  | Cash Income ${ }^{\text { }}$ |  | Health Care <br> Provided by <br> Employer | $\begin{gathered} \text { Maximum } \\ \text { Employer } \\ \text { Penalty } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Payroll Taxes } \\ \text { paid by } \\ \text { Employer } \end{gathered}$ | Employer $(\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{P}+\mathrm{Q})$ |  |
| (A)' |  |  |  |  |  | D) |  |  | (F)' |  | (G)' | (H)' |  | (I)' |  | (J) |  | (K)' |  | (L)' |  |  |  | N) |  |  | (Q) | (R)' | (S)' |
| 100 | \$ | 7,527 | \$ | 5,000 | s | 1,700 |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | 576 | \$ | (496) | \$ | 12,527 | \$ | 1,700 | S | 1,779 | \$ | 5,748 | \$ | 7,527 | 5,000 | \$ - | 576 | 13,103 | 53.48\% |
| 125 | \$ | 10,427 | \$ | 5,000 |  | 1,700 |  | s | - | \$ | - | 798 |  | (292) | \$ | 15,427 | \$ | 1,700 | \$ | 2,205 | \$ | 8,222 | \$ | 10,427 | 5,000 | \$ - | 798 | \$ 16,225 | 43.43\% |
| 150 | \$ | 13,427 | \$ | 5,000 |  | 1,700 |  | s | - | \$ | - | 1,027 | s |  | \$ | 18,427 | \$ | 1,700 | \$ | 2,964 | \$ | 10,463 | \$ | 13,427 | 5,000 | \$ - | 1,027 | \$ 19,454 | 36.36\% |
| 175 | \$ | 16,327 | \$ | 5,000 | \$ | 1,700 |  | s | - | \$ | - | 1,249 | s | 618 | \$ | 21,327 | \$ | 1,700 | \$ | 3,567 | \$ | 12,760 | \$ | 16,327 | 5,000 | \$ - | 1,249 | \$ 22,576 | 31.42\% |
| 200 | \$ | 19,327 | \$ | 5,000 |  | 1,700 |  | s | - | \$ | - | 1,479 | s | 922 | \$ | 24,327 | \$ | 1,700 | s | 4,100 | \$ | 15,227 | \$ | 19,327 | 5,000 | \$ - | 1,479 | \$ 25,805 | 27.54\% |
| 225 | \$ | 22,227 | \$ | 5,000 | \$ | 1,700 |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | 1,700 | \$ | 1,357 | \$ | 27,227 | \$ | 1,700 | \$ | 4,757 | \$ | 17,470 | \$ | 22,227 | 5,000 | \$ - | 1,700 | \$ 28,927 | 24.61\% |
| 250 | \$ | 25,227 | \$ | 5,000 |  | 1,700 |  | s | - | \$ | - | 1,930 | \$ | 1,807 | \$ | 30,227 | \$ | 1,700 | \$ | 5,436 | \$ | 19,791 | \$ | 25,227 | 5,000 | \$ - | 1,930 | \$ 32,157 | 22.17\% |
| 275 | \$ | 28,127 | \$ | 5,000 | \$ | 1,700 |  | s | - | \$ | - | 2,152 | \$ | 2,242 | \$ | 33,127 | \$ | 1,700 | \$ | 6,093 | \$ | 22,034 | \$ | 28,127 | 5,000 | \$ - | 2,152 | \$ 35,279 | 20.23\% |
| 300 | \$ | 31,127 | \$ | 5,000 | \$ | 1,700 |  | s | - | \$ | - | \$ 2,381 | \$ | 2,692 | \$ | 36,127 | \$ | 1,700 | s | 6,773 | \$ | 24,354 | \$ | 31,127 | 5,000 | \$ - | 2,381 | \$ 38,508 | 18.55\% |
| 325 | \$ | 34,027 | \$ | 5,000 | \$ | 1,700 |  | s | - | \$ | - | 2,603 | \$ | 3,127 | \$ | 39,027 | \$ | 1,700 | \$ | 7,430 | \$ | 26,597 | \$ | 34,027 | 5,000 | \$ - | 2,603 | \$ 41,630 | 17.17\% |
| 350 | \$ | 37,027 | \$ | 5,000 | \$ | 1,700 |  | s | - | \$ | - | 2,833 | \$ | 3,577 | \$ | 42,027 | \$ | 1,700 | s | 8,109 | \$ | 28,918 | \$ | 37,027 | 5,000 | \$ - | 2,833 | \$ 44,859 | 15.94\% |
| 375 | \$ | 39,927 | \$ | 5,000 | \$ | 1,700 |  | s | - | \$ | - | 3,054 | \$ | 4,012 | \$ | 44,927 | \$ | 1,700 | s | 8,766 | \$ | 31,161 | \$ | 39,927 | 5,000 | \$ - | 3,054 | \$ 47,981 | 14.91\% |
| 400 | \$ | 42,927 | \$ | 5,000 | \$ | 1,700 |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | 3,284 | \$ | 4,462 | \$ | 47,927 | \$ | 1,700 | \$ | 9,445 | \$ | 33,481 | \$ | 42,927 | 5,000 | \$ - | 3,284 | \$ $\quad 51,211$ | 13.98\% |
| 425 | \$ | 45,827 | \$ | 5,000 | \$ | 1,700 |  | s | - | \$ | - | \$ 3,506 | \$ | 4,897 | \$ | 50,827 | \$ | 1,700 | \$ | 10,102 | \$ | 35,725 | \$ | 45,827 | 5,000 | \$ - | 3,506 | \$ 54,333 | 13.18\% |
| 450 | \$ | 48,827 | \$ | 5,000 | \$ | 1,700 |  | s | - | \$ | - | 3,735 | \$ | 5,519 | \$ | 53,827 | \$ | 1,700 | \$ | 10,955 | \$ | 37,872 | \$ | 48,827 | 5,000 | \$ . | 3,735 | \$ 57,562 | 12.45\% |

## 1) FPL - Federal poverty leve

Cash income calculated according to CBO projections of the Federal Povery Level in 2016 .
Average cost share subsidy applied to all income levels within a given FPL Frange as shown in Cof income allocated to the premium.
in CBO Analysis of Subsidies and Enrollee Payments, October 9, 2009
 Assumes that all employers have over 50 employes and are subject to employer penalty for not providing health insurance. Also assumes employers are not eligible for small employee tax credit

Assumes employee bears the average cost share amount as indicated in column $C$.

## HEALTH REFORM: COMPARI NG VALUES I N A TWO-SUBSI DY SYSTEM

In many health reform proposals, a new subsidy would be provided for those purchasing health insurance whose income falls below a certain income level. At the same time, those who receive employer-provided health insurance could not receive the new subsidy, but would be eligible for existing tax subsidies. These existing subsidies derive from the exclusion from income and Social Security tax of employer-provided payments.

I he tigures in the related tables show the ditterence in subsidies tor health care between the employer-provided health insurance system, and the subsidy system currently under consideration by the Senate Finance Committee. The new subsidy would be provided in addition to the employee's compensation (which for these purposes is assumed to be all cash). Households with lower earnings receive a larger subsidy and pay a lower percentage of their income on health care, but no household pays more than $12.8 \%$ of their cash income on health care.

The net savings represents the difference between the two levels of subsidy. In these tables the amount of health insurance and the amount of cost share under the policy are assumed to be the same and are made to match an assumed policy used in a number of Congressional Budget Office analyses. The net saving for those receiving the new subsidy relative to the old, but remaining, subsidy equals the sum of the difference in taxes, the difference in direct subsidies and the difference in employer penalties. The net savings would fall somewhat for employers offering more generous plans, although the plan assumed here would cost employers $\$ 14,700$ for a family policy in 2016.

In the examples, comparisons are made between employees generating the same employer cost. Employer cost includes cash wages plus employer payments for insurance, plus employer Social Security taxes on compensation paid, plus any penalty under the new law for not providing health insurance. The example does not include other changes, such as to Welfare, Food Stamps or Medicaid, which would apply especially at lower-income levels. Other assumptions are listed in footnotes to the table.

