Table T09-0162

## Average Effective Marginal Individual Income Tax Rates <br> Distribution by Cash Income Percentile, 2012 ${ }^{1}$

| Cash Income Percentile ${ }^{2,3}$ | Current Law | Administration Baseline ${ }^{4}$ | Budget Proposal ${ }^{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lowest Quintile | 5.4 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| Second Quintile | 17.7 | 15.7 | 16.5 |
| Third Quintile | 20.1 | 18.1 | 18.5 |
| Fourth Quintile | 24.5 | 19.5 | 20.1 |
| Top Quintile | 32.3 | 29.0 | 31.4 |
| All | 26.0 | 22.6 | 24.0 |
| Addendum |  |  |  |
| 80-90 | 27.7 | 26.0 | 25.8 |
| 90-95 | 30.0 | 27.1 | 28.5 |
| 95-99 | 34.8 | 32.1 | 35.5 |
| Top 1 Percent | 39.8 | 32.6 | 39.6 |
| Top 0.1 Percent | 40.2 | 34.1 | 39.9 |

## Source: Urban-Brookings Tax Policy Center Microsimulation Model (version 0309-1).

1. Calendar year. Effective marginal rate is determined by calculating individual income tax and then adding $\$ 1,000$ to wages and recomputing individual income tax. The effective marginal rate is the resulting change in tax divided by $\$ 1,000$. Values are averages, weighted by the dollar value of wages and salaries.
2. Tax units with negative cash income are excluded from the lowest income class but are included in the totals Excludes tax units with zero earnings. For a description of cash income, see
http://www.taxpolicycenter.org/TaxModel/income.cfm
3. The cash income percentile classes used in the table are based on the income distribution for the entire population and contain an equal number of people, not tax units. The breaks are (in 2009 dollars): $20 \% 19,957,40 \% \$ 37,919,60 \%$ \$66,635, 80\% \$111,847, $90 \%$ \$160,851, $95 \%$ \$224,521, $99 \%$ 590,626, 99.9\% 2,706,133.
4. Administration baseline extends all of the individual income tax provisions included in 2001 EGTRRA and 2003 JGTRRA; maintains the estate tax at its 2009 parameters; extends the 2009 AMT Patch and indexes the AMT exemption, rate bracket threshold, and phase-out exemption threshold for inflation.
5. Proposal is Administration's FY2010 Budget.
