Earned Income Tax Credit by State, Tax Year 2018

Alabama	State	Total number of returns	Number of returns with EITC	Share of returns with EITC (percentage)	Amount of credit (dollars)	Average amount of credit per EITC return (dollars)
Alaska 348,990 45,960 13,294 \$96,923,000 \$2 Arizona 3,094,210 576,720 18,6% \$1,480,095,000 \$2 Arkansas 1,236,750 288,810 23,4% \$1,780,200 \$2 Collorado 2,765,420 2,926,160 16,1% \$6,739,862,000 \$2 Colorado 2,765,420 343,460 12,4% \$745,303,000 \$2 Connecticut 1,768,690 221,490 12,5% \$492,176,000 \$2 District of Columbia 350,010 50,200 14,3% \$117,010,000 \$2 Florida 10,229,050 2,179,310 21,3% \$5,377,141,000 \$2 Georgia 4,581,030 1,071,370 23,4% \$2,945,087,000 \$2 Idaho 783,400 128,030 16,3% \$293,984,000 \$2 Idaho 783,400 198,030 16,3% \$293,984,000 \$2 Illinois 6,116,510 943,370 15,4% \$2,342,007,000 \$2	United States	153,455,990	26,142,740	17.0%	\$64,060,520,000	\$2,450
Alaska 348,990 45,960 13,2% \$6,960,000 \$2,000 Arizona 3,094,210 576,720 18,6% \$1,480,095,000 \$2,44 Arkansas 1,236,750 288,810 23,4% \$773,291,000 \$2,2 California 18,175,520 2,926,160 16,1% \$6,739,862,000 \$2,2 Colorado 2,765,420 343,460 12,4% \$745,303,000 \$2,2 Delaware 469,860 73,850 15,7% \$176,095,000 \$2,7 District of Columbia 350,010 50,200 14,3% \$117,010,000 \$2,2 Florida 10,229,950 2,179,310 21,3% \$5,377,141,000 \$2,2 Georgia 4,581,030 1,071,370 23,4% \$2,945,087,000 \$2,2 Hawaii 694,860 96,910 13,9% \$293,984,000 \$2,2 Illinois 6,116,510 943,370 15,4% \$2,342,007,000 \$2,2 Ilmina 3,150,350 519,670 16,5% \$1,258,704,000 <td>Alabama</td> <td></td> <td>481,700</td> <td>23.4%</td> <td>\$1,348,916,000</td> <td>\$2,800</td>	Alabama		481,700	23.4%	\$1,348,916,000	\$2,800
Arkansas 1,236,750 288,810 23,4% \$773,291,000 \$2,000 California 18,175,520 2,926,160 16,1% \$6,738,862,000 \$2. Connecticut 1,768,690 221,490 12,5% \$492,176,000 \$2. Delaware 469,860 73,850 15,7% \$176,095,000 \$2. District of Columbia 10,229,050 2,179,310 21,3% \$5,377,141,000 \$2. Florida 10,229,050 2,179,310 21,3% \$5,377,141,000 \$2. Hawaii 694,860 96,910 13,9% \$209,045,000 \$2. Hawaii 694,860 198,370 15,4% \$2,342,007,000 \$2. Indian 3,150,350 519,670 16,5% \$1,258,704,000 \$2. Indian 3,150,350 198,710 13,6% \$2342,007,000 \$2. Kansas 1,335,070 200,140 15,0% \$476,058,000 \$2. Kentucky 1,921,470 369,39 20.14 \$85,375,75000	Alaska	348,990	45,960	13.2%		\$2,109
California 18,175,520 2,926,160 16,1% \$6,739,862,000 \$2, Colorado 2,765,420 343,460 12,4% \$745,303,000 \$2, Connecticut 1,768,660 221,490 12,5% \$492,176,000 \$2, Delaware 469,860 73,850 15,7% \$176,095,000 \$2, District of Columbia 10,229,050 2,179,310 21,3% \$5,377,141,000 \$2, Florida 10,229,050 2,179,310 21,3% \$5,377,141,000 \$2, Hawaii 694,860 96,910 13,9% \$2,934,607,000 \$2, Idaho 783,400 128,030 16,3% \$293,894,000 \$2, Idaho 783,400 128,030 16,5% \$1,258,704,000 \$2, Iowa 1,458,970 198,710 13,6% \$27,364,000 \$2, Kentucky 1,921,470 385,990 20,1% \$476,058,000 \$2, Kentucky 1,921,470 385,990 20,1% \$824,4068,000 <t< td=""><td>Arizona</td><td>3,094,210</td><td>576,720</td><td>18.6%</td><td>\$1,480,095,000</td><td>\$2,566</td></t<>	Arizona	3,094,210	576,720	18.6%	\$1,480,095,000	\$2,566
Colorado 2,765,420 343,460 12,4% \$745,303,000 \$2, Connecticut 1,768,690 221,490 12,5% \$492,176,000 \$2, Delaware 469,860 73,850 15,7% \$176,095,000 \$2, Delaware \$492,000 \$2, Delaware \$492,000 \$2, Delaware \$400,000 \$2, Delaware \$2, 342,007,000 \$2, Seption \$2,	Arkansas	1,236,750	288,810	23.4%	\$773,291,000	\$2,678
Connecticut 1,768,690 221,490 12.5% \$492,176,000 \$2,20 Delaware 469,860 73,850 15.7% \$176,095,000 \$2,20 District of Columbia 10,229,050 2,179,310 21,3% \$5,377,141,000 \$2,20 Georgia 4,581,030 1,071,370 23,4% \$2,945,087,000 \$2,21 Idaho 783,400 128,030 16.3% \$293,894,000 \$2,21 Illinois 6,116,510 943,370 15,4% \$2,342,007,000 \$2,21 Ilmiana 3,150,350 519,670 16.5% \$1,258,704,000 \$2,21 Iowa 1,458,970 198,710 13,6% \$457,117,000 \$2,22 Kentucky 1,921,470 385,990 20.1% \$951,757,000 \$2,2 Louisiana 1,962,700 500,940 25.5% \$1,434,200,000 \$2,2 Maryland 3,043,90 406,880 13.5% \$933,856,000 \$2,2 Massachusetts 3,488,510 392,470 11.3% \$	California		2,926,160	16.1%	\$6,739,862,000	\$2,303
Delaware District of Columbia 469,860 73,850 15.7% \$176,095,000 \$2,000 District of Columbia 350,010 50,200 14,3% \$117,010,000 \$2,75,110 Florida 10,229,050 2,179,310 21,3% \$13,711,100 \$2,6 Georgia 4,581,030 1,071,370 23,4% \$2,945,087,000 \$2,186,000 Hawaii 694,860 96,910 13,9% \$209,045,000 \$2,186,000 Idaho 783,400 128,200 16,3% \$233,894,000 \$2,186,000 Ildinois 6,116,510 943,370 15,4% \$2,342,007,000 \$2,186,000 Indiana 3,150,350 159,670 16,5% \$1,258,704,000 \$2,186,000 Kansas 1,355,070 200,140 15,0% \$476,058,000 \$2,186,000 Kentucky 1,921,470 385,990 20.1% \$951,757,000 \$2,280,000 Maryland 3,004,390 406,880 13,5% \$939,856,000 \$2,280,000 Maryland 3,048,510 3	Colorado	2,765,420	343,460	12.4%	\$745,303,000	\$2,170
District of Columbia 350,010 50,200 14.3% \$117,010,000 \$2,76 Florida 10,229,050 2,179,310 21.3% \$5,377,141,000 \$2,76 Georgia 4,581,030 1,071,370 23.4% \$2,945,000 \$2,246,000 Hawaii 694,860 96,910 13.9% \$29,945,000 \$2,180,000 Ildino 783,400 128,030 16.3% \$233,894,000 \$2,116,000 Indiana 3,150,350 519,670 16.5% \$1,258,704,000 \$2,116,000 Indiana 1,458,970 198,710 13.6% \$457,117,000 \$2,117,000 Kentucky 1,921,470 385,990 20.1% \$951,757,000 \$2,210,000 Maine 666,480 97,020 14.6% \$203,086,000 \$2,210,000 Maryland 3,004,390 406,880 13.5% \$939,856,000 \$2,210,000 Michigan 4,786,230 763,940 16.0% \$1,900,140,000 \$2,210,000 Mississippi 1,227,720 364,350	Connecticut	1,768,690	221,490	12.5%	\$492,176,000	\$2,222
Florida	Delaware	469,860	73,850	15.7%	\$176,095,000	\$2,384
Georgia 4,581,030 1,071,370 23,4% \$2,945,087,000 \$2,16,000 \$2,16,000 \$2,16,000 \$2,16,000 \$2,16,000 \$2,16,000 \$2,16,000 \$2,16,000 \$2,16,000 \$2,16,000 \$2,16,000 \$2,16,000 \$2,16,000 \$2,16,000 \$2,16,000 \$2,16,000 \$2,16,000 \$2,16,000 \$2,16,000 \$2,16,000 \$2,16,000 \$2,16,000 \$2,16,000 \$2,16,000 \$2,16,000 \$2,16,000 \$2,16,000 \$2,16,000 \$2,16,000 \$2,16,000 \$2,16,000 \$2,16,000 \$2,16,000 \$2,16,000 \$2,16,000 \$2,16,000 \$2,16,000 \$2,16,000 \$2,16,000 \$2,17,000 \$2,16,000 \$2,16,000 \$2,17,000 \$2,17,000 \$2,17,000 \$2,17,000 \$2,17,000 \$2,17,000 \$2,17,000 \$2,17,000 \$2,17,000 \$2,17,000 \$2,17,000 \$2,17,000 \$2,17,000 \$2,17,000 \$2,17,000 \$2,17,000 \$2,17,000 \$2,17,000 \$2,17,000 \$2,17,000 \$2,17,000 \$2,17,000 \$2,17,000 \$2,17,000 \$2,17,000 \$2,17,000 \$2,17,000 \$2,17,000 <td< td=""><td>District of Columbia</td><td>350,010</td><td>50,200</td><td>14.3%</td><td>\$117,010,000</td><td>\$2,331</td></td<>	District of Columbia	350,010	50,200	14.3%	\$117,010,000	\$2,331
Hawaii	Florida	10,229,050	2,179,310	21.3%	\$5,377,141,000	\$2,467
Idaho	Georgia	4,581,030	1,071,370	23.4%	\$2,945,087,000	\$2,749
Illinois	Hawaii	694,860	96,910	13.9%	\$209,045,000	\$2,157
Indiana	Idaho	783,400	128,030	16.3%	\$293,894,000	\$2,296
Iowa	Illinois	6,116,510	943,370	15.4%	\$2,342,007,000	\$2,483
Kansas 1,335,070 200,140 15.0% \$476,058,000 \$2, Kentucky 1,921,470 385,990 20.1% \$951,757,000 \$2, Louisiana 1,962,700 500,940 25.5% \$1,434,200,000 \$2, Maine 666,480 97,020 14.6% \$203,086,000 \$2, Maryland 3,004,390 406,880 13.5% \$939,856,000 \$2, Michigan 4,786,230 763,940 16.0% \$1,900,140,000 \$2, Michigan 4,786,230 763,940 16.0% \$1,900,140,000 \$2, Mississippi 1,227,720 364,350 29.7% \$1,057,022,000 \$2, Missisouri 2,809,860 488,370 17.4% \$1,194,838,000 \$2, Nebraska 909,600 128,740 14.2% \$304,237,000 \$2, New Hampshire 712,090 72,140 10.1% \$140,793,000 \$1, New Jersey 4,462,740 599,550 13.4% \$1,401,535,000 <td< td=""><td>Indiana</td><td>3,150,350</td><td>519,670</td><td>16.5%</td><td>\$1,258,704,000</td><td>\$2,422</td></td<>	Indiana	3,150,350	519,670	16.5%	\$1,258,704,000	\$2,422
Kentucky 1,921,470 385,990 20.1% \$951,757,000 \$2,1 Louisiana 1,962,700 500,940 25.5% \$1,434,200,000 \$2,1 Maine 666,480 97,020 14.6% \$203,086,000 \$2,1 Maryland 3,004,390 406,880 13.5% \$939,856,000 \$2,2 Missachusetts 3,488,510 392,470 11.3% \$824,068,000 \$2,2 Michigan 4,786,230 763,940 16.0% \$1,900,140,000 \$2,2 Minnesota 2,796,040 324,340 11.6% \$710,329,000 \$2,2 Mississippi 1,227,720 364,350 29.7% \$1,07,022,000 \$2,2 Missouri 2,809,860 488,370 17.4% \$1,194,838,000 \$2,4 Nebraska 909,600 128,740 14.2% \$304,237,000 \$2,2 Nevada 1,449,560 261,980 18.1% \$632,376,000 \$2,2 New Jersey 4,462,740 599,550 13.4% \$1,401,793,000	Iowa	1,458,970	198,710	13.6%	\$457,117,000	\$2,300
Louisiana 1,962,700 500,940 25.5% \$1,434,200,000 \$2,1 Maine 666,480 97,020 14.6% \$203,086,000 \$2,1 Maryland 3,004,390 406,880 13.5% \$939,856,000 \$2,2 Massachusetts 3,488,510 392,470 11.3% \$824,068,000 \$2,2 Michigan 4,786,230 763,940 16.0% \$1,900,140,000 \$2,608,000 Minnesota 2,796,040 324,340 11.6% \$710,329,000 \$2,608,000 Missouri 2,809,860 488,370 17.4% \$1,194,838,000 \$2,700 Montana 511,440 76,270 14.9% \$164,339,000 \$2,700 Nebraska 909,600 128,740 14.2% \$304,237,000 \$2,700 New Hampshire 712,090 72,140 10.1% \$140,793,000 \$1,84 New Jersey 4,462,740 599,550 13.4% \$1,401,535,000 \$2,700 New Mexico 929,110 204,320 22.0% \$5	Kansas	1,335,070	200,140	15.0%	\$476,058,000	\$2,379
Maine 666,480 97,020 14.6% \$203,086,000 \$2,1 Maryland 3,004,390 406,880 13.5% \$939,856,000 \$2,6 Massachusetts 3,488,510 392,470 11.3% \$824,088,000 \$2,6 Michigan 4,786,230 763,940 16.0% \$1,900,140,000 \$2,6 Minnesota 2,796,040 324,340 11.6% \$710,329,000 \$2,6 Mississippi 1,227,720 364,350 29.7% \$1,057,022,000 \$2,6 Missouri 2,809,860 488,370 17.4% \$1,194,838,000 \$2,7 Nebraska 909,600 128,740 14.2% \$304,237,000 \$2,7 Nevada 1,449,560 261,980 18.1% \$632,376,000 \$2,7 New Hampshire 712,090 72,140 10.1% \$140,793,000 \$1,7 New Mexico 929,110 204,320 22.0% \$500,626,000 \$2,2 New York 9,742,580 1,662,500 17.1% \$3,864,470,000	Kentucky	1,921,470	385,990	20.1%	\$951,757,000	\$2,466
Maryland 3,004,390 406,880 13.5% \$939,856,000 \$2, Massachusetts 3,488,510 392,470 11.3% \$824,088,000 \$2, Michigan 4,786,230 763,940 16.0% \$1,900,140,000 \$2, Minnesota 2,796,040 324,340 11.6% \$710,329,000 \$2, Mississippi 1,227,720 364,350 29.7% \$1,057,022,000 \$2, Missouri 2,809,860 488,370 17.4% \$1,194,838,000 \$2, Montana 511,440 76,270 14.9% \$164,339,000 \$2, Nebraska 909,600 128,740 14.2% \$304,237,000 \$2, New Hampshire 712,090 72,140 10.1% \$140,793,000 \$2, New Hampshire 712,090 72,140 10.1% \$140,793,000 \$2, New Mexico 929,110 204,320 22.0% \$50,626,000 \$2, New York 9,742,580 1,662,500 17.1% \$3,864,470,000	Louisiana	1,962,700	500,940	25.5%	\$1,434,200,000	\$2,863
Massachusetts 3,488,510 392,470 11.3% \$824,068,000 \$2, Michigan 4,786,230 763,940 16.0% \$1,900,140,000 \$2, Minnesota 2,796,040 324,340 11.6% \$710,329,000 \$2, Missosisippi 1,227,720 364,350 29.7% \$1,057,022,000 \$2, Missouri 2,809,860 488,370 17.4% \$1,194,838,000 \$2, Montana 511,440 76,270 14.9% \$164,339,000 \$2, Nebraska 909,600 128,740 14.2% \$304,237,000 \$2, New dad 1,449,560 261,980 18.1% \$632,376,000 \$2, New Hampshire 712,090 72,140 10.1% \$140,793,000 \$1, New Hexico 929,110 204,320 22.0% \$500,626,000 \$2, New York 9,742,580 1,662,500 17.1% \$3,864,470,000 \$2, North Dakota 363,760 42,760 11.8% \$93,824,000	Maine	666,480	97,020	14.6%	\$203,086,000	\$2,093
Michigan 4,786,230 763,940 16.0% \$1,900,140,000 \$2,000 Minnesota 2,796,040 324,340 11.6% \$710,329,000 \$2,000 Mississispipi 1,227,720 364,350 29.7% \$1,057,022,000 \$2,000 Missouri 2,809,860 488,370 17.4% \$1,194,838,000 \$2,000 Montana 511,440 76,270 14.9% \$164,339,000 \$2,000 Nebraska 909,600 128,740 14.2% \$304,237,000 \$2,000 Nevada 1,449,560 261,980 18.1% \$632,376,000 \$2,000 New Hampshire 712,090 72,140 10.1% \$140,793,000 \$1,1 New Jersey 4,462,740 599,550 13.4% \$1,401,535,000 \$2,0 New Mexico 929,110 204,320 22.0% \$500,626,000 \$2,0 New York 9,742,580 1,662,500 17.1% \$3,864,470,000 \$2, North Dakota 363,760 42,760 11.8% \$9	Maryland	3,004,390	406,880	13.5%	\$939,856,000	\$2,310
Minnesota 2,796,040 324,340 11.6% \$710,329,000 \$2, Mississippi Mississippi 1,227,720 364,350 29.7% \$1,057,022,000 \$2, Missouri Montane 2,809,860 488,370 17.4% \$1,194,838,000 \$2, Mississippi Montane 511,440 76,270 14.9% \$164,339,000 \$2, Mississippi Nebraska 909,600 128,740 14.9% \$164,339,000 \$2, Mississippi Nevada 1,449,560 261,980 18.1% \$632,376,000 \$2, Mississippi New Hampshire 712,090 72,140 10.1% \$140,793,000 \$1, Mississippi New Jersey 4,462,740 599,550 13.4% \$1,401,535,000 \$2, Mississippi New York 9,742,580 1,662,500 17.1% \$3,864,470,000 \$2, Mississippi North Carolina 4,638,600 913,240 19.7% \$2,276,543,000 \$2, Mississippi Ohio 5,624,050 903,470 16.1% \$2,216,512,000 \$2, Mississippi <t< td=""><td>Massachusetts</td><td>3,488,510</td><td>392,470</td><td>11.3%</td><td>\$824,068,000</td><td>\$2,100</td></t<>	Massachusetts	3,488,510	392,470	11.3%	\$824,068,000	\$2,100
Mississippi 1,227,720 364,350 29.7% \$1,057,022,000 \$2,1 Missouri 2,809,860 488,370 17.4% \$1,194,838,000 \$2, Montana 511,440 76,270 14.9% \$164,339,000 \$2, Nebraska 909,600 128,740 14.2% \$304,237,000 \$2, Nevada 1,449,560 261,980 18.1% \$632,376,000 \$2, New Hampshire 712,090 72,140 10.1% \$140,793,000 \$1, New Jersey 4,462,740 599,550 13.4% \$1,401,535,000 \$2, New Mexico 929,110 204,320 22.0% \$500,626,000 \$2, North Carolina 4,638,600 913,240 19.7% \$3,864,470,000 \$2, North Dakota 363,760 42,760 11.8% \$93,824,000 \$2, Ohio 5,624,050 903,470 16.1% \$2,216,512,000 \$2, Oregon 1,965,610 265,330 13.5% \$555,452,000 \$	Michigan	4,786,230	763,940	16.0%	\$1,900,140,000	\$2,487
Missouri 2,809,860 488,370 17.4% \$1,194,838,000 \$2, Montana Montana 511,440 76,270 14.9% \$164,339,000 \$2, Mebraska Nevada 1,449,560 261,980 18.1% \$304,237,000 \$2, Mebraska New Hampshire 712,090 72,140 10.1% \$140,793,000 \$1, Mebraska New Jersey 4,462,740 599,550 13.4% \$1,401,535,000 \$2, Mebraska New Mexico 929,110 204,320 22.0% \$500,626,000 \$2, Mebraska New York 9,742,580 1,662,500 17.1% \$3,864,470,000 \$2, Mebraska North Dakota 363,760 42,760 11.8% \$93,824,000 \$2, Mebraska Ohio 5,624,050 903,470 16.1% \$2,216,512,000 \$2, Mebraska Oregon 1,965,610 265,330 13.5% \$555,452,000 \$2, Mebraska Rhode Island 541,700 81,480 15.0% \$185,722,000 \$2, Mebraska South Carolina 2,276,120	Minnesota	2,796,040	324,340	11.6%	\$710,329,000	\$2,190
Montana 511,440 76,270 14.9% \$164,339,000 \$2, Nebraska 909,600 128,740 14.2% \$304,237,000 \$2, Nevada 1,449,560 261,980 18.1% \$632,376,000 \$2, New Hampshire 712,090 72,140 10.1% \$140,793,000 \$1, New Jersey 4,462,740 599,550 13.4% \$1,401,535,000 \$2, New Mexico 929,110 204,320 22.0% \$500,626,000 \$2, New York 9,742,580 1,662,500 17.1% \$3,864,470,000 \$2, North Carolina 4,638,600 913,240 19.7% \$2,276,543,000 \$2, North Dakota 363,760 42,760 11.8% \$93,824,000 \$2, Ohio 5,624,050 903,470 16.1% \$2,216,512,000 \$2, Oklahoma 1,639,850 330,840 20.2% \$844,544,000 \$2, Oregon 1,965,610 265,330 13.5% \$555,452,000 \$2, </td <td>Mississippi</td> <td>1,227,720</td> <td>364,350</td> <td>29.7%</td> <td>\$1,057,022,000</td> <td>\$2,901</td>	Mississippi	1,227,720	364,350	29.7%	\$1,057,022,000	\$2,901
Nebraska 909,600 123,740 14.2% \$304,237,000 \$2,000 Nevada 1,449,560 261,980 18.1% \$632,376,000 \$2,000 New Hampshire 712,090 72,140 10.1% \$140,793,000 \$1,1 New Jersey 4,462,740 599,550 13.4% \$1,401,535,000 \$2,1 New Mexico 929,110 204,320 22,0% \$500,626,000 \$2,2 New York 9,742,580 1,662,500 17.1% \$3,864,470,000 \$2,2 North Carolina 4,638,600 913,240 19.7% \$2,276,543,000 \$2,0 North Dakota 363,760 42,760 11.8% \$93,824,000 \$2,0 Ohio 5,624,050 903,470 16.1% \$2,216,512,000 \$2,0 Oklahoma 1,639,850 330,840 20.2% \$844,544,000 \$2,2 Oregon 1,965,610 265,330 13.5% \$555,452,000 \$2,2 Pennsylvania 6,260,030 907,050 14.5% \$2,066,896,0	Missouri	2,809,860	488,370		\$1,194,838,000	\$2,447
Nevada 1,449,560 261,980 18.1% \$632,376,000 \$2,000 New Hampshire 712,090 72,140 10.1% \$140,793,000 \$1,1 New Jersey 4,462,740 599,550 13.4% \$1,401,535,000 \$2,2 New Mexico 929,110 204,320 22.0% \$500,626,000 \$2,4 New York 9,742,580 1,662,500 17.1% \$3,864,470,000 \$2,7 North Carolina 4,638,600 913,240 19.7% \$2,276,543,000 \$2,7 North Dakota 363,760 42,760 11.8% \$93,824,000 \$2,7 Ohio 5,624,050 903,470 16.1% \$2,216,512,000 \$2,7 Oregon 1,639,850 330,840 20.2% \$844,544,000 \$2,2 Oregon 1,965,610 265,330 13.5% \$555,452,000 \$2,2 Pennsylvania 6,260,030 907,050 14.5% \$2,066,696,000 \$2,2 Rhode Island 541,700 81,480 15.0% \$185,722,00	Montana	511,440	76,270		\$164,339,000	\$2,155
New Hampshire 712,090 72,140 10.1% \$140,793,000 \$1,1 New Jersey 4,462,740 599,550 13.4% \$1,401,535,000 \$2, New Mexico 929,110 204,320 22.0% \$500,626,000 \$2, New York 9,742,580 1,662,500 17.1% \$3,864,470,000 \$2, North Carolina 4,638,600 913,240 19.7% \$2,276,543,000 \$2, North Dakota 363,760 42,760 11.8% \$93,824,000 \$2, Ohio 5,624,050 903,470 16.1% \$2,216,512,000 \$2, Oklahoma 1,639,850 330,840 20.2% \$844,544,000 \$2, Oregon 1,965,610 265,330 13.5% \$555,452,000 \$2, Pennsylvania 6,260,030 907,050 14.5% \$2,066,696,000 \$2, Rhode Island 541,700 81,480 15.0% \$185,722,000 \$2, South Carolina 2,276,120 478,920 21.0% \$1,264,88,000		,	,			\$2,363
New Jersey 4,462,740 599,550 13.4% \$1,401,535,000 \$2,000 New Mexico 929,110 204,320 22.0% \$500,626,000 \$2,000 New York 9,742,580 1,662,500 17.1% \$3,864,470,000 \$2,000 North Carolina 4,638,600 913,240 19.7% \$2,276,543,000 \$2,000 North Dakota 363,760 42,760 11.8% \$93,824,000 \$2,000 Ohio 5,624,050 903,470 16.1% \$2,216,512,000 \$2,000 Oklahoma 1,639,850 330,840 20.2% \$844,544,000 \$2,000 Oregon 1,965,610 265,330 13.5% \$555,452,000 \$2,1 Pennsylvania 6,260,030 907,050 14.5% \$2,066,696,000 \$2,2 Rhode Island 541,700 81,480 15.0% \$185,722,000 \$2,2 South Carolina 2,276,120 478,920 21.0% \$1,264,188,000 \$2,2 South Dakota 421,160 59,670 14.2%						\$2,414
New Mexico 929,110 204,320 22.0% \$500,626,000 \$2,000 New York 9,742,580 1,662,500 17.1% \$3,864,470,000 \$2,000 North Carolina 4,638,600 913,240 19.7% \$2,276,543,000 \$2,000 North Dakota 363,760 42,760 11.8% \$93,824,000 \$2,000 Ohio 5,624,050 903,470 16.1% \$2,216,512,000 \$2,000 Oklahoma 1,639,850 330,840 20.2% \$844,544,000 \$2,000 Oregon 1,965,610 265,330 13.5% \$555,452,000 \$2,000 Nensylvania 6,280,030 907,050 14.5% \$2,066,696,000 \$2,000 Rhode Island 541,700 81,480 15.0% \$185,722,000 \$2,000 South Carolina 2,276,120 478,920 21.0% \$1,226,188,000 \$2,000 South Dakota 421,160 59,670 14.2% \$134,675,000 \$2,000 Texas 12,640,440 2,670,340 21.1% <td></td> <td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td> <td>,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$1,952</td>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,			\$1,952
New York 9,742,580 1,662,500 17.1% \$3,864,470,000 \$2, North Carolina 4,638,600 913,240 19.7% \$2,276,543,000 \$2, North Dakota 363,760 42,760 11.8% \$93,824,000 \$2, Ohio 5,624,050 903,470 16.1% \$2,216,512,000 \$2, Oklahoma 1,639,850 330,840 20.2% \$844,544,000 \$2, Oregon 1,965,610 265,330 13.5% \$555,452,000 \$2, Pennsylvania 6,260,030 907,050 14.5% \$2,066,896,000 \$2, Rhode Island 541,700 81,480 15.0% \$185,722,000 \$2, South Carolina 2,276,120 478,920 21.0% \$1,226,188,000 \$2, South Dakota 421,160 59,670 14.2% \$134,675,000 \$2, Tennessee 3,055,660 617,790 20.2% \$1,571,567,000 \$2, Texas 12,640,440 2,670,340 21.1% \$7,271,831,000	,					\$2,338
North Carolina 4,638,600 913,240 19.7% \$2,276,543,000 \$2,76,543,000 North Dakota 363,760 42,760 11.8% \$93,824,000 \$2,000 Ohio 5,624,050 903,470 16.1% \$2,216,512,000 \$2,000 Oklahoma 1,639,850 330,840 20.2% \$844,544,000 \$2,000 Oregon 1,965,610 265,330 13.5% \$555,452,000 \$2,000 Pennsylvania 6,260,030 907,050 14.5% \$2,066,696,000 \$2,000 Rhode Island 541,700 81,480 15.0% \$185,722,000 \$2,000 South Carolina 2,276,120 478,920 21.0% \$1,226,188,000 \$2,000 South Dakota 421,160 59,670 14.2% \$134,675,000 \$2,000 Texas 12,640,440 2,670,340 21.1% \$7,271,831,000 \$2,000 Vermont 328,540 41,630 12.7% \$80,954,000 \$1,1 Virginia 3,983,910 596,880 15.0% <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$2,450</td>						\$2,450
North Dakota 363,760 42,760 11.8% \$93,824,000 \$2, Ohio 5,624,050 903,470 16.1% \$2,216,512,000 \$2, Oklahoma 1,639,850 330,840 20.2% \$844,544,000 \$2, Oregon 1,965,610 265,330 13.5% \$555,452,000 \$2, Pennsylvania 6,260,030 907,050 14.5% \$2,066,696,000 \$2, Rhode Island 541,700 81,480 15.0% \$185,722,000 \$2, South Carolina 2,276,120 478,920 21.0% \$1,226,188,000 \$2, South Dakota 421,160 59,670 14.2% \$134,675,000 \$2, Tennessee 3,055,660 617,790 20.2% \$1,571,567,000 \$2, Texas 12,640,440 2,670,340 21.1% \$7,271,831,000 \$2, Utah 1,363,530 185,250 13.6% \$424,606,000 \$2, Vermont 328,540 41,630 12.7% \$80,954,000 \$1,						\$2,324
Ohio 5,624,050 903,470 16.1% \$2,216,512,000 \$2,000 Oklahoma 1,639,850 330,840 20.2% \$844,544,000 \$2,000 Oregon 1,965,610 265,330 13.5% \$555,452,000 \$2,000 Pennsylvania 6,260,030 907,050 14.5% \$2,066,696,000 \$2,000 Rhode Island 541,700 81,480 15.0% \$185,722,000 \$2,000 South Carolina 2,276,120 478,920 21.0% \$1,226,188,000 \$2,000 South Dakota 421,160 59,670 14.2% \$134,675,000 \$2,000 Tennessee 3,055,660 617,790 20.2% \$1,571,567,000 \$2,000 Texas 12,640,440 2,670,340 21.1% \$7,271,831,000 \$2,000 Utah 1,363,530 185,250 13.6% \$424,606,000 \$2,000 Vermont 328,540 41,630 12.7% \$80,954,000 \$1,1 Virginia 3,983,910 596,880 15.0% \$1			· ·			\$2,493
Oklahoma 1,639,850 330,840 20.2% \$844,544,000 \$2,000 Oregon 1,965,610 265,330 13.5% \$555,452,000 \$2,000 Pennsylvania 6,260,030 907,050 14.5% \$2,066,696,000 \$2,000 Rhode Island 541,700 81,480 15.0% \$185,722,000 \$2,000 South Carolina 2,276,120 478,920 21.0% \$1,226,188,000 \$2,000 South Dakota 421,160 59,670 14.2% \$134,675,000 \$2,000 Tennessee 3,055,660 617,790 20.2% \$1,571,567,000 \$2,000 Texas 12,640,440 2,670,340 21.1% \$7,271,831,000 \$2,000 Utah 1,363,530 185,250 13.6% \$424,606,000 \$2,000 Vermont 328,540 41,630 12.7% \$80,954,000 \$1,000 Virginia 3,983,910 596,880 15.0% \$1,398,283,000 \$2,000 Washington 3,623,110 416,530 11.5%			· ·			\$2,194
Oregon 1,965,610 265,330 13.5% \$55,452,000 \$2,1 Pennsylvania 6,260,030 907,050 14.5% \$2,066,696,000 \$2,1 Rhode Island 541,700 81,480 15.0% \$185,722,000 \$2,2 South Carolina 2,276,120 478,920 21.0% \$1,226,188,000 \$2,2 South Dakota 421,160 59,670 14.2% \$134,675,000 \$2,2 Tennessee 3,055,660 617,790 20.2% \$1,571,567,000 \$2,2 Texas 12,640,440 2,670,340 21.1% \$7,271,831,000 \$2,2 Utah 1,363,530 185,250 13.6% \$424,606,000 \$2,4 Vermont 328,540 41,630 12.7% \$80,954,000 \$1,7 Virginia 3,983,910 596,880 15.0% \$1,398,283,000 \$2,2 Washington 3,623,110 416,530 11.5% \$89,968,000 \$2,2			· ·			\$2,453
Pennsylvania 6,260,030 907,050 14.5% \$2,066,699,000 \$2, Rhode Island 541,700 81,480 15.0% \$185,722,000 \$2, South Carolina 2,276,120 478,920 21.0% \$1,226,188,000 \$2, South Dakota 421,160 59,670 14.2% \$134,675,000 \$2, Tennessee 3,055,660 617,790 20.2% \$1,571,567,000 \$2, Texas 12,640,440 2,670,340 21.1% \$7,271,831,000 \$2, Utah 1,363,530 185,250 13.6% \$424,606,000 \$2, Vermont 328,540 41,630 12.7% \$80,954,000 \$1, Virginia 3,983,910 596,880 15.0% \$1,398,283,000 \$2, Washington 3,623,110 416,530 11.5% \$89,968,000 \$2,			,			\$2,553
Rhode Island 541,700 81,480 15.0% \$185,722,000 \$2,26,188,000 South Carolina 2,276,120 478,920 21.0% \$1,226,188,000 \$2,500 South Dakota 421,160 59,670 14.2% \$134,675,000 \$2,600 Tennessee 3,055,660 617,790 20.2% \$1,571,567,000 \$2,7271,831,000 Texas 12,640,440 2,670,340 21.1% \$7,271,831,000 \$2,7271,831,000 Utah 1,363,530 185,250 13.6% \$424,606,000 \$2,727,831,000 Vermont 328,540 41,630 12.7% \$80,954,000 \$1,982,200 Virginia 3,983,910 596,880 15.0% \$1,398,283,000 \$2,000 Washington 3,623,110 416,530 11.5% \$89,968,000 \$2,000	•		,			\$2,093
South Carolina 2,276,120 478,920 21.0% \$1,226,188,000 \$2,33 South Dakota 421,160 59,670 14.2% \$134,675,000 \$2,70 Tennessee 3,055,660 617,790 20.2% \$1,571,567,000 \$2,70 Texas 12,640,440 2,670,340 21.1% \$7,271,831,000 \$2,70 Utah 1,363,530 185,250 13.6% \$424,606,000 \$2,70 Vermont 328,540 41,630 12.7% \$80,954,000 \$1,982,283,000 Virginia 3,983,910 596,880 15.0% \$1,398,283,000 \$2,70 Washington 3,623,110 416,530 11.5% \$89,968,000 \$2,70	•		· ·			\$2,278
South Dakota 421,160 59,670 14.2% \$134,675,000 \$2,751,567,000 Tennessee 3,055,660 617,790 20.2% \$1,571,567,000 \$2,751,567,000 Texas 12,640,440 2,670,340 21.1% \$7,271,831,000 \$2,751,767,77,77,77,77,77,77,77,77,77,77,77,77						\$2,279
Tennessee 3,055,660 617,790 20.2% \$1,571,567,000 \$2,7271,831,000 Texas 12,640,440 2,670,340 21.1% \$7,271,831,000 \$2,7271,831,000 Utah 1,363,530 185,250 13.6% \$424,606,000 \$2,7271,831,000 Vermont 328,540 41,630 12.7% \$80,954,000 \$1,398,283,000 Virginia 3,983,910 596,880 15.0% \$1,398,283,000 \$2,7271,831,000 Washington 3,623,110 416,530 11.5% \$899,968,000 \$2,7271,831,000						\$2,560
Texas 12,640,440 2,670,340 21.1% \$7,271,831,000 \$2, Utah 1,363,530 185,250 13.6% \$424,606,000 \$2, Vermont 328,540 41,630 12.7% \$80,954,000 \$1, Virginia 3,983,910 596,880 15.0% \$1,398,283,000 \$2, Washington 3,623,110 416,530 11.5% \$899,968,000 \$2,		· ·				\$2,257
Utah 1,363,530 185,250 13.6% \$424,606,000 \$2,200 Vermont 328,540 41,630 12.7% \$80,954,000 \$1,300 Virginia 3,983,910 596,880 15.0% \$1,398,283,000 \$2,300 Washington 3,623,110 416,530 11.5% \$899,968,000 \$2,300			· ·			\$2,544
Vermont 328,540 41,630 12.7% \$80,954,000 \$1,1 Virginia 3,983,910 596,880 15.0% \$1,398,283,000 \$2, Washington 3,623,110 416,530 11.5% \$899,968,000 \$2,						\$2,723
Virginia 3,983,910 596,880 15.0% \$1,398,283,000 \$2, Washington 3,623,110 416,530 11.5% \$899,968,000 \$2,			· ·			\$2,292
Washington 3,623,110 416,530 11.5% \$899,968,000 \$2,		· ·	,			\$1,945
	•		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			\$2,343 \$2,161
	•					
	-	· ·				\$2,356 \$2,247
						\$2,247 \$2,170

^{[1] &}quot;Earned income credit" includes both the refundable and non-refundable portions. The non-refundable portion could reduce income tax and certain related taxes to zero; credit amounts in excess of tax, or amounts when there was no tax liability at all, were refundable.

^[2] includes, for example, returns filed from Army Post Office and Fleet Post Office addresses by members of the armed forces stationed overseas; returns filed by other U.S. citizens abroad; and returns filed by residents of Puerto Rico with income from sources outside Puerto Rico or with income earned as U.S. government employees. Notes: (a) This table presents aggregates of all returns filed and processed through the Individual Master File (IMF) system during Calendar Year 2019, including any returns filed for tax years preceding 2018. (b) In general, during administrative or Master File processing, taxpayer reporting discrepancies are corrected only to the extent necessary to verify the income tax liability reported. Most of the other corrections to the taxpayer records used for these statistics could not be made because of time and resource constraints. The statistics in this table should, therefore, be used with the knowledge that some of the data have not been perfected or edited for statistical purposes.

⁽c) Classification by State was usually based on the taxpayer's home address. However, some taxpayers may have used the address of a tax lawyer, or accountant, or the address of a place of business; moreover, such addresses could each have been located in a State other than the State in which the taxpayer resided.

(d) For explanation of the tax law changes which could affect the year-to-year analysis of data, refer to the respective years' "Individual Income Tax Returns, Preliminary Data' article published in the SOI Spring Bulletin. For further explanation of the tax terms, refer to the "Individual Income Tax Returns," Publication 1304.

Source: IRS, Statistics of Income Division, Historical Table 2, State Data Tax Year 2018. October 2020.

25-Nov-19

Earned Income Tax Credit by State, Tax Year 2017

	Total	Number of	Share of	Amount of	Average
- ·	number of	returns with	returns with	credit	credit per
State	returns	EITC [1]	EITC	(millions of	return
	(thousands)	(thousands)	(percentage)	dollars)	(dollars)
United Otatas	450 450	00.000	47.00/	05.004	0.404
United States	152,456	26,838	17.6%	65,334	2,434
Alabama	2,060	495	24.0%	1,378	2,783
Alaska Arizona	349	48 588	13.9% 19.4%	102	2,117
Arkansas	3,023	296		1,505	2,561
	1,233		24.0%	783	2,646
California	18,099	3,043	16.8%	6,995	2,299
Colorado	2,714	357	13.2%	772	2,162
Connecticut Delaware	1,766 464	225 75	12.7% 16.1%	493 177	2,193
Delaware District of Columbia	-	-			2,361
	348	52	15.1%	121	2,304
Florida	10,181	2,216	21.8%	5,431	2,451
Georgia	4,543	1,086	23.9%	2,973	2,739
Hawaii Idaho	693 764	101 132	14.5%	216 303	2,143
Illinois			17.3%		2,291
	6,129	979	16.0%	2,419	2,472
Indiana	3,135	532	17.0%	1,281	2,406
lowa	1,458	204	14.0%	465	2,276
Kansas	1,333	207	15.5%	488	2,360
Kentucky	1,920	393	20.5%	957	2,431
Louisiana	1,970	512	26.0%	1,447	2,829
Maine	660	101	15.3%	208	2,069
Maryland	2,986	415	13.9%	955	2,301
Massachusetts	3,457	400	11.6%	836	2,086
Michigan	4,763	785	16.5%	1,944	2,478
Minnesota	2,772	334	12.1%	728	2,177
Mississippi	1,235	374	30.2%	1,073	2,872
Missouri	2,812	502	17.9%	1,216	2,422
Montana	506	79	15.6%	168	2,130
Nebraska	906	132	14.6%	311	2,346
Nevada	1,418	265	18.7%	637	2,406
New Hampshire	707	75	10.6%	146	1,952
New Jersey	4,438	614	13.8%	1,419	2,313
New Mexico	922	211	22.8%	516	2,451
New York	9,695	1,724	17.8%	3,995	2,317
North Carolina	4,578	933	20.4%	2,311	2,478
North Dakota	363	44	12.2%	95	2,134
Ohio	5,621	931	16.6%	2,262	2,429
Oklahoma	1,630	340	20.8%	859 577	2,529
Oregon	1,939	276 931	14.2% 14.9%	577 2,096	2,091
Pennsylvania	6,237			,	2,253
Rhode Island	537	83	15.5%	189	2,274
South Carolina	2,241	488	21.8%	1,244	2,548
South Dakota	419	61	14.6%	137	2,225
Tennessee	3,036	634	20.9%	1,600	2,526
Texas	12,521	2,707	21.6%	7,328	2,707
Utah	1,326	190	14.4%	437	2,295
Vermont	328	44	13.3%	84	1,932
Virginia	3,961	610	15.4%	1,417	2,323
Washington	3,568	435	12.2%	935	2,151
West Virginia	767	150	19.6%	351	2,340
Wisconsin	2,867	373	13.0%	830	2,227
Wyoming	271	37	13.7%	79	2,129
Other areas [2]	786	21	2.6%	44	2,114

[2] Includes, for example, returns filed from Army Post Office and Fleet Post Office addresses by members of the armed forces stationed overseas; returns filed by other U.S. citizens abroad; and returns filed by residents of Puerto Rico with income from sources outside Puerto Rico or with income earned as U.S. government employees. **Notes:** (a) This table presents aggregates of all returns filed and processed through the Individual Master File (IMF) system during Calendar Year 2018, including any returns filed for tax years preceding 2017.

(c) Classification by State was usually based on the taxpayer's home address. However, some taxpayers may have used the address of a tax lawyer, or accountant, or the address of a place of business; moreover, such addresses could each have been located in a State other than the State in which the taxpayer resided.

(d) For explanation of the tax law changes which could affect the year-to-year analysis of data, refer to the respective years' "Individual Income Tax Returns, Preliminary Data" article published in the SOI Spring Bulletin. For further explanation of the tax terms, refer to the "Individual Income Tax Returns," Publication 1304.

Source: IRS, Statistics of Income Division, Historical Table 2, State Data Tax Year 2017

⁽b) In general, during administrative or Master File processing, taxpayer reporting discrepancies are corrected only to the extent necessary to verify the income tax liability reported. Most of the other corrections to the taxpayer records used for these statistics could not be made because of time and resource constraints. The statistics in this table should, therefore, be used with the knowledge that some of the data have not been perfected or edited for statistical purposes.

Earned Income Tax Credit by State, Tax Year 2016

	T-4-1	Nii	01	A	A
	Total	Number of	Share of	Amount of	Average
0	number of	returns with	returns with	credit	credit per
State	returns	EITC [1]	EITC	(millions of	return
	(thousands)	(thousands)	(percentage)	dollars)	(dollars)
	440.050	07.000	40.00/	00.444	0.404
United States	149,853	27,320	18.2%	66,144	2,421
Alabama	2,044	505	24.7%	1,392	2,756
Alaska	348	50	14.2%	104	2,094
Arizona	2,944	599	20.4%	1,525	2,544
Arkansas	1,222	301	24.6%	790	2,624
California	17,817	3,110	17.5%	7,196	2,314
Colorado	2,649	368	13.9%	793	2,152
Connecticut	1,754	228	13.0%	495	2,173
Delaware	456	76	16.6%	178	2,347
District of Columbia	343	54	15.7%	123	2,275
Florida	9,668	2,190	22.7%	5,350	2,443
Georgia	4,435	1,102	24.8%	2,998	2,721
Hawaii	685	104	15.2%	223	2,140
Idaho	740	136	18.4%	312	2,294
Illinois	6,100	1,007	16.5%	2,484	2,466
Indiana	3,102	547	17.6%	1,310	2,394
lowa	1,448	209	14.4%	471	2,251
Kansas	1,324	212	16.0%	498	2,346
Kentucky	1,906	403	21.1%	968	2,405
Louisiana	1,968	520	26.4%	1,454	2,795
Maine	651	103	15.8%	212	2,056
Maryland	2,951	423	14.3%	969	2,288
Massachusetts	3,410	408	12.0%	845	2,068
Michigan	4,719	804	17.0%	1,968	2,446
Minnesota	2,737	344	12.6%	744	2,167
Mississippi	1,230	380	30.9%	1,080	2,842
Missouri	2,781	513	18.4%	1,235	2,408
Montana	501	81	16.2%	172	2,118
Nebraska	898	135	15.0%	314	2,326
Nevada	1,378	265	19.2%	636	2,402
New Hampshire	699	77	11.1%	151	1,947
New Jersey	4,385	619	14.1%	1,420	2,296
New Mexico	908	216	23.8%	527	2,445
New York	9,589	1,773	18.5%	4,092	2,309
North Carolina North Dakota	4,512	947 44	21.0%	2,327	2,457
Ohio	360		12.3%	94	2,126
	5,573	952	17.1%	2,292	2,407
Oklahoma Oregon	1,616 1,899	346 285	21.4% 15.0%	872 595	2,517 2,089
Pennsylvania	6,189	950	15.0%	2,119	
•				,	2,230
Rhode Island	529	85	16.0%	191	2,254
South Carolina	2,204	498	22.6%	1,261	2,530
South Dakota	416	63	15.2%	140	2,215
Tennessee Texas	2,991 12,116	649 2.722	21.7% 22.5%	1,631	2,514 2.688
Utah	12,116	2,722 196	22.5% 15.2%	7,317 451	2,688 2,305
Vermont	326	45	13.7%	451 86	,
	3,910	622	15.7%	1,432	1,926
Virginia		_			2,303
Washington	3,489	452 156	13.0%	966	2,136
West Virginia	767	156 384	20.4%	363 851	2,320
Wyoming	2,843		13.5%		2,214
Wyoming Other erose [2]	269 765	38 22	14.3%	81 48	2,112
Other areas [2]	700	22	2.9%	40	2,158

[2] Includes, for example, returns filed from Army Post Office and Fleet Post Office addresses by members of the armed forces stationed overseas; returns filed by other U.S. citizens abroad; and returns filed by residents of Puerto Rico with income from sources outside Puerto Rico or with income earned as U.S. government employees. NOTES: (a) This table presents aggregates of all returns filed and processed through the Individual Master File (IMF) system during Calendar Year 2016, including any returns filed for tax years preceding 2015.

(c) Classification by State was usually based on the taxpayer's home address. However, some taxpayers may have used the address of a tax lawyer, or accountant, or the address of a place of business; moreover, such addresses could each have been located in a State other than the State in which the taxpayer resided.

(d) For explanation of the tax law changes which could affect the year-to-year analysis of data, refer to the respective years' "Individual Income Tax Returns, Preliminary Data" article published in the SOI Spring Bulletin. For further explanation of the tax terms, refer to the "Individual Income Tax Returns," Publication 1304.

SOURCE: IRS, Statistics of Income Division, Historical Table 2, State Data Tax Year 2016

⁽b) In general, during administrative or Master File processing, taxpayer reporting discrepancies are corrected only to the extent necessary to verify the income tax liability reported. Most of the other corrections to the taxpayer records used for these statistics could not be made because of time and resource constraints. The statistics in this table should, therefore, be used with the knowledge that some of the data have not been perfected or edited for statistical purposes.

Earned Income Tax Credit by State, Tax Year 2015

	T-4-1	Ni	1	A	A
	Total	Number of	D	Amount of	Average
0	number of	returns with	Percent of	credit	credit per
State	returns	EITC [1]	returns with	(millions of	return
	(thousands)	(thousands)	EITC	dollars)	(dollars)
	440 707	07.000	40 70/		0.404
United States	149,727	27,996	18.7%	68,062	2,431
Alabama	2,054	521	25.4%	1,445	2,770
Alaska	362	48	13.2%	99	2,065
Arizona	2,905	608	20.9%	1,558	2,561
Arkansas	1,229	309	25.1%	807	2,612
California	17,760	3,263	18.4%	7,656	2,346
Colorado	2,617	377	14.4%	815	2,163
Connecticut	1,761	231	13.1%	501	2,170
Delaware	453	77	17.0%	181	2,350
District of Columbia	345	56	16.2%	127	2,278
Florida	9,627	2,218	23.0%	5,455	2,460
Georgia	4,443	1,133	25.5%	3,098	2,735
Hawaii	689	111	16.1%	239	2,163
Idaho	722	139	19.2%	319	2,303
Illinois	6,162	1,039	16.9%	2,574	2,477
Indiana	3,105	564	18.2%	1,351	2,398
lowa	1,454	215	14.8%	483	2,248
Kansas	1,339	217	16.2%	512	2,357
Kentucky	1,910	413	21.6%	990	2,399
Louisiana	1,994	531	26.6%	1,486	2,798
Maine	646	105	16.3%	217	2,062
Maryland	2,964	438	14.8%	1,012	2,310
Massachusetts	3,397	422	12.4%	871	2,066
Michigan	4,718	827	17.5%	2,020	2,442
Minnesota	2,725	350	12.9%	760	2,170
Mississippi	1,245	394	31.6%	1,128	2,866
Missouri	2,788	527	18.9%	1,270	2,410
Montana	499	82	16.5%	174	2,121
Nebraska	899	138	15.4%	322	2,327
Nevada	1,351	264	19.6%	640	2,422
New Hampshire	693	80	11.5%	156	1,957
New Jersey	4,386	631	14.4%	1,453	2,303
New Mexico	917	220	24.0%	538	2,441
New York	9,615	1,831	19.0%	4,258	2,326
North Carolina	4,457	970	21.8%	2,396	2,470
North Dakota	369	44	12.0%	94	2,109
Ohio	5,592	975	17.4%	2,354	2,414
Oklahoma	1,642	351	21.4%	877	2,501
Oregon	1,874	295	15.7%	617	2,092
Pennsylvania	6,201	962	15.5%	2,150	2,236
Rhode Island	528	87	16.4%	196	2,262
South Carolina	2,170	508	23.4%	1,290	2,540
South Dakota	415	64	15.3%	141	2,217
Tennessee	2,970	669	22.5%	1,690	2,529
Texas	12,152	2,733	22.5%	7,349	2,689
Utah	1,264	201	15.9%	469	2,329
Vermont	326	46	14.1%	88	1,925
Virginia	3,912	638	16.3%	1,473	2,308
Washington	3,433	463	13.5%	996	2,151
West Virginia	781	160	20.4%	364	2,282
Wisconsin	2,841	393	13.9%	873	2,220
Wyoming	279	38	13.7%	80	2,110
Other areas [2]	751	22	2.9%	48	2,201

^{[1] &}quot;Earned income credit" includes both the refundable and non-refundable portions. The non-refundable portion could reduce income tax and certain related taxes to zero; credit amounts in excess of tax, or amounts when there was no tax liability at all, were refundable.

^[2] Includes, for example, returns filed from Army Post Office and Fleet Post Office addresses by members of the armed forces stationed overseas; returns filed by other U.S. citizens abroad; and returns filed by residents of Puerto Rico with income from sources outside Puerto Rico or with income earned as U.S. government employees. NOTES: (a) This table presents aggregates of all returns filed and processed through the Individual Master File (IMF) system during Calendar Year 2015, including any returns filed for tax years preceding 2014. (b) In general, during administrative or Master File processing, taxpayer reporting discrepancies are corrected only to the extent necessary to verify the income tax liability reported. Most of the other corrections to the taxpayer records used for these statistics could not be made because of time and resource constraints. The statistics in this table should, therefore, be used with the knowledge that some of the data have not been perfected or edited for statistical purposes.

⁽c) Classification by State was usually based on the taxpayer's home address. However, some taxpayers may have used the address of a tax lawyer, or accountant, or the address of a place of business; moreover, such addresses could each have been located in a State other than the State in which the taxpayer resided.

(d) For explanation of the tax law changes which could affect the year-to-year analysis of data, refer to the respective years' "Individual Income Tax Returns, Preliminary Data" article published in the SOI Spring Bulletin. For further explanation of the tax terms, refer to the "Individual Income Tax Returns," Publication 1304.

SOURCE: IRS, Statistics of Income Division, Historical Table 2, September 2017

4-May-17

	Total	Number of		Amount of	Average
	number of	returns with	Percent of	credit	credit per
State	returns	EITC [1]	returns with	(millions of	return
	(thousands)	(thousands)	EITC	dollars)	(dollars)
United States	147,767	28,233	19.1%	67,720	2,399
Alabama	2,047	529	25.8%	1,445	2,731
Alaska	361	49	13.5%	99	2,028
Arizona	2,846	603	21.2%	1,523	2,525
Arkansas	1,223	310	25.4%	795	2,560
California	17,411	3,313	19.0%	7,748	2,339
Colorado	2,553	377	14.8%	810	2,146
Connecticut	1,749	232	13.2%	497	2,147
Delaware	444	77	17.4%	178	2,307
District of Columbia	337	57	16.8%	130	2,295
Florida	9,399	2,219	23.6%	5,404	2,436
Georgia	4,378	1,139	26.0%	3,064	2,691
Hawaii	682	114	16.7%	247	2,163
Idaho	702	140	19.9%	317	2,273
Illinois	6,131	1,056	17.2%	2,582	2,446
Indiana	3,079	571	18.6%	1,349	2,361
lowa	1,446	217	15.0%	477	2,201
Kansas	1,336	221	16.6%	511	2,312
Kentucky	1,892	419	22.1%	986	2,354
Louisiana	2,008	533	26.6%	1,461	2,741
Maine	638	107	16.7%	217	2,033
Maryland	2,936	446	15.2%	1,017	2,283
Massachusetts	3,344	427	12.8%	874	2,045
Michigan	4,685	845	18.0%	2,031	2,404
Minnesota	2,688	357	13.3%	761	2,130
Mississippi	1,243	400	32.1%	1,128	2,823
Missouri	2,767	535	19.3%	1,266	2,365
Montana	492	83	16.9%	173	2,077
Nebraska	889	140	15.7%	319	2,286
Nevada	1,322	261	19.7%	623	2,390
New Hampshire	685	82	11.9%	158	1,928
New Jersey	4,343	634	14.6%	1,444	2,278
New Mexico	912	220	24.2%	529	2,401
New York	9,524	1,857	19.5%	4,273	2,301
North Carolina	4,381	975	22.2%	2,382	2,444
North Dakota	371	44	11.9%	91	2,060
Ohio	5,560	986	17.7%	2,339	2,372
Oklahoma	1,640	348	21.2%	854	2,453
Oregon	1,827	298	16.3%	617	2,072
Pennsylvania	6,169	970	15.7%	2,134	2,201
Rhode Island	522	88	16.9%	197	2,241
South Carolina	2,124	513	24.2%	1,283	2,499
South Dakota	411	65	15.7%	140	2,173
Tennessee	2,928	675	23.0%	1,684	2,495
Texas	11,992	2,720	22.7%	7,189	2,642
Utah	1,222	204	16.7%	471	2,305
Vermont	323	46	14.4%	88	1,893
Virginia	3,872	641	16.6%	1,458	2,273
Washington	3,343	467	14.0%	994	2,130
West Virginia	783	161	20.6%	358	2,219
Wisconsin	2,811	401	14.3%	873	2,176
Wyoming	280	38	13.4%	77	2,064
Other areas [2]	718	24	3.3%	53	2,208

^{[1] &}quot;Earned income credit" includes both the refundable and non-refundable portions. The non-refundable portion could reduce income tax and certain related taxes to zero; credit amounts in excess of tax, or amounts when there was no tax liability at all, were refundable.

^[2] Includes, for example, returns filed from Army Post Office and Fleet Post Office addresses by members of the armed forces stationed overseas; returns filed by other U.S. citizens abroad; and returns filed by residents of Puerto Rico with income from sources outside Puerto Rico or with income earned as U.S. government employees. NOTES: (a) This table presents aggregates of all returns filed and processed through the Individual Master File (IMF) system during Calendar Year 2015, including any returns filed for tax years preceding 2014.

(b) In general, during administrative or Master File processing, taxpayer reporting discrepancies are corrected only

⁽a) in general, ourning administrative or waster File processing, taxpayer reporting discrepancies are corrected only to the extent necessary to verify the income tax liability reported. Most of the other corrections to the taxpayer records used for these statistics could not be made because of time and resource constraints. The statistics in this table should, therefore, be used with the knowledge that some of the data have not been perfected or edited for statistical purposes.

⁽c) Classification by State was usually based on the taxpayer's home address. However, some taxpayers may have used the address of a tax lawyer, or accountant, or the address of a place of business; moreover, such addresses could each have been located in a State other than the State in which the taxpayer resided (d) For explanation of the tax law changes which could affect the year-to-year analysis of data, refer to the respective years' "Individual Income Tax Returns, Preliminary Data" article published in the SOI Spring Bulletin. For further explanation of the tax terms, refer to the "Individual Income Tax Returns," Publication 1304.

SOURCE: IRS, Statistics of Income Division, Individual Master File System, September 2016, and Tax Policy Center calculations.

29-Jan-16

	Total	Number of	1	Amount of	Average
	number of	returns with	Percent of	credit	credit per
State	returns	EITC [1]	returns with	(millions of	return
State	(thousands)	(thousands)	EITC	dollars)	(dollars)
-	(triousarius)	(triousarius)	EIIC	uoliais)	(dollars)
United States	146,543	28,487	19.4%	67,277	2,362
Alabama	2,049	536	26.2%	1,438	2,682
Alaska	359	52	14.4%	104	2,002
Arizona	2,814	600	21.3%	1,489	2,481
Arkansas	1,220	315	25.8%	789	2,508
California	17,172	3,315	19.3%	7,670	2,314
Colorado	2,503	383	15.3%	813	2,124
Connecticut	1,750	232	13.3%	489	2,124
Delaware	440	77	17.4%	174	2,273
District of Columbia	331	57	17.1%	128	2,266
Florida	9,316	2,234	24.0%	5,353	2,396
Georgia	4,359	1,148	26.3%	3,029	2,639
Hawaii	675	116	17.2%	247	2,131
Idaho	692	142	20.5%	319	2,247
Illinois	6,101	1,059	17.4%	2,539	2,397
Indiana	3,048	576	18.9%	1,334	2,318
lowa	1,435	220	15.3%	474	2,154
Kansas	1,326	223	16.9%	508	2,272
Kentucky	1,886	423	22.4%	980	2,316
Louisiana	2,004	541	27.0%	1,454	2,688
Maine	636	107	16.8%	214	2,000
Maryland	2,942	441	15.0%	991	2,248
Massachusetts	3,301	427	12.9%	861	2,016
Michigan	4,657	856	18.4%	2,010	2,348
Minnesota	2,653	361	13.6%	753	2,085
Mississippi	1,246	404	32.4%	1,118	2,770
Missouri	2,743	543	19.8%	1,267	2,335
Montana	488	85	17.5%	175	2,055
Nebraska	880	142	16.1%	317	2,240
Nevada	1,308	262	20.0%	606	2,316
New Hampshire	682	84	12.3%	159	1,895
New Jersev	4.327	630	14.6%	1.415	2,246
New Mexico	906	224	24.7%	528	2,363
New York	9,443	1,859	19.7%	4,226	2,273
North Carolina	4,336	973	22.4%	2,350	2,415
North Dakota	362	45	12.4%	91	2,027
Ohio	5,537	998	18.0%	2,326	2,331
Oklahoma	1,631	355	21.8%	854	2,405
Oregon	1,794	298	16.6%	614	2,058
Pennsylvania	6,154	973	15.8%	2,103	2,160
Rhode Island	518	88	17.0%	197	2,228
South Carolina	2,106	514	24.4%	1,266	2,461
South Dakota	413	69	16.7%	146	2,114
Tennessee	2,908	682	23.4%	1,672	2,452
Texas	11,889	2,813	23.7%	7,314	2,600
Utah	1,196	207	17.3%	474	2,289
Vermont	321	47	14.7%	88	1,867
Virginia	3,835	640	16.7%	1,441	2,250
Washington	3,293	474	14.4%	997	2,104
West Virginia	784	162	20.7%	355	2,190
Wisconsin	2,798	406	14.5%	867	2,135
Wyoming	284	42	14.7%	84	2,007
Other areas [2]	695	27	3.9%	64	2,351

^{[1] &}quot;Earned income credit" includes both the refundable and non-refundable portions. The non-refundable portion could reduce income tax and certain related taxes to zero; credit amounts in excess of tax, or amounts when there was no tax liability at all, were refundable.

^[2] Includes, for example, returns filed from Army Post Office and Fleet Post Office addresses by members of the armed forces stationed overseas; returns filed by other U.S. citizens abroad; and returns filed by residents of Puerto Rico with income from sources outside Puerto Rico or with income earmed as U.S. government employees. NOTES: (a) This table presents aggregates of all returns filed and processed through the Individual Master File (IMF) system during Calendar Year 2014, including any returns filed for tax years preceding 2013.

⁽b) In general, during administrative or Master File processing, taxpayer reporting discrepancies are corrected only to the extent necessary to verify the income tax liability reported. Most of the other corrections to the taxpayer records used for these statistics could not be made because of time and resource constraints. The statistics in this table should, therefore, be used with the knowledge that some of the data have not been perfected or edited for statistical purposes.

⁽c) Classification by State was usually based on the taxpayer's home address. However, some taxpayers may have used the address of a tax lawyer, or accountant, or the address of a place of business; moreover, such addresses could each have been located in a State other than the State in which the taxpayer resided.

(d) For explanation of the tax law changes which could affect the year-to-year analysis of data, refer to the respective years' "Individual Income Tax Returns, Preliminary Data" article published in the SOI Spring Bulletin. For further explanation of the tax terms, refer to the "Individual Income Tax Returns," Publication 1304.

SOURCE: IRS, Statistics of Income Division, Individual Master File System, September 2015, and Tax Policy Center calculations.

29-Jan-16 Earned Income Tax Credit by State, Tax Year 2012

Total number of returns with EITC [1] Percent of returns with EITC [1] Percent of credit per return with (mousands) Percent of credit per return with (mousands) Percent of credit per return with (mousands) Percent of credit per return (dollars) Percent of collars Percent o						
State		Total	Number of		Amount of	Average
United States 145,025 27,788 19,2% 64,222 2,311 Alabama 2,051 537 26,2% 1,418 2,638 Alaska 363 52 14,3% 102 1,967 Arizona 2,761 583 21,1% 1,410 2,420 Arkansas 1,219 312 25,6% 764 2,448 Callifornia 16,909 3,210 19,0% 7,290 2,271 Colorado 2,450 373 15,2% 775 2,080 Connecticut 1,741 222 12,7% 453 2,043 Delaware 434 75 17,2% 166 2,221 District of Columbia 328 55 16,9% 123 2,218 Florida 9,226 2,160 23,4% 5,100 2,361 Georgia 4,335 1,124 25,9% 2,901 2,580 Idaho 679 140 20,6% 308 2,201 <td></td> <td>number of</td> <td>returns with</td> <td>Percent of</td> <td>credit</td> <td>credit per</td>		number of	returns with	Percent of	credit	credit per
United States 145,025 27,788 19,2% 64,222 2,311 Alabama 2,051 537 26,2% 1,418 2,638 Alaska 363 52 14,3% 102 1,967 Arizona 2,761 583 21,1% 1,410 2,420 Arkansas 1,219 312 25,6% 764 2,448 California 16,809 3,210 19,0% 7,299 2,271 Colorado 2,450 373 15,2% 775 2,080 Connecticut 1,741 222 12,7% 453 2,043 Delaware 434 75 17,2% 166 2,221 Bistrict of Columbia 328 55 16,9% 123 2,218 Florida 9,226 2,160 23,4% 5,100 236 Georgia 4,335 1,124 25,9% 2,901 2,580 Hawaii 665 1,104 20,6% 308 2,201 <td>State</td> <td>returns</td> <td>EITC [1]</td> <td>returns with</td> <td>(millions of</td> <td>return</td>	State	returns	EITC [1]	returns with	(millions of	return
Alabama 2,051 537 26,2% 1,418 2,638 Alaska 363 52 14,3% 102 1,968 Arizona 2,761 583 21,1% 1,410 2,420 Arkansas 1,219 312 25,6% 764 2,448 California 16,909 3,210 19,0% 7,290 2,271 Colorado 2,450 373 15,2% 775 2,080 Delaware 434 75 17,2% 463 2,043 Delaware 434 75 17,2% 466 2,221 District of Columbia 328 55 16,9% 123 2,218 Florida 9,226 2,160 23,4% 5,100 2,361 Georgia 4,335 1,124 25,9% 2,901 2,580 Hawaii 665 115 17,2% 240 2,099 Idaho 6,679 140 20,6% 308 2,201		(thousands)	(thousands)	EITC	dollars)	(dollars)
Alabama 2,051 537 26.2% 1,418 2,638 Alaska 363 52 14.3% 102 1,967 Arizona 2,761 583 21.1% 1,410 2,420 Arkansas 1,219 312 25.6% 764 2,448 California 16,909 3,210 19.0% 7,290 2,271 Colorado 2,450 373 15.2% 775 2,080 Comnecticut 1,741 222 12.7% 453 2,043 Delaware 434 75 17.2% 456 2,221 District of Columbia 328 55 16.9% 123 2,218 Florida 9,226 2,160 23.4% 5,100 2,361 Georgia 4,335 1,124 25.9% 2,901 2,580 Hawaii 665 115 17.2% 240 2,099 Idaho 679 140 20.6% 308 2,201 <t< td=""><td></td><td>,</td><td>,</td><td></td><td>·</td><td>,</td></t<>		,	,		·	,
Alaska 363 52 14.3% 102 1.967 Arizona 2,761 583 21.1% 1,410 2,420 Arkansas 1,219 312 25.6% 764 2,448 California 16,909 3,210 19.0% 7,290 2,271 Colorado 2,450 373 15.2% 775 2,080 Connecticut 1,741 222 12.7% 453 2,043 Delaware 434 75 17.2% 466 2,221 District of Columbia 328 55 16.9% 123 2,218 Florida 9,226 2,160 23.4% 5,100 2,361 Georgia 4,335 1,124 25.9% 2,901 2,580 Hawaii 665 115 17.2% 240 2,099 Idaho 1679 140 20.6% 308 2,201 Illinois 6,077 1,048 17.3% 2,452 2,338	United States	145,025	27,788	19.2%	64,222	2,311
Arizona 2,781 583 21.1% 1,410 2,420 Arkansas 1,219 312 25.6% 764 2,448 California 16,909 3,210 19.0% 7,290 2,271 Colorado 2,450 373 15.2% 775 2,080 Connecticut 1,741 222 12.7% 453 2,043 Delaware 434 75 17.2% 166 2,221 District of Columbia 328 55 16.9% 123 2,218 Florida 9,226 2,160 23.4% 5,100 2,361 Georgia 4,335 1,124 25.9% 2,901 2,580 Idaho 679 140 20.6% 308 2,201 Illinois 6,077 1,048 17.3% 2,452 2,338 Indiana 3,030 564 18.6% 12.73 2,258 Iowa 1,427 217 15.2% 452 2,087 Kansas 1,324 221 16.7% 487 2,203 Kentucky 1,879 415 22.1% 941 2,266 Louisiana 2,012 542 26.9% 1,422 2,625 Maine 631 106 16.7% 206 1,947 Maryland 2,861 425 14.9% 391 2,189 Massachusetts 3,264 414 12.7% 810 1,958 Michigan 4,631 846 18.3% 1,943 2,296 Minnesota 2,620 355 13.5% 718 2,025 Mississipi 1,250 406 32.4% 1,097 2,704 Missouri 2,728 537 19.7% 1,222 2,278 Montana 485 85 17.5% 170 1,998 New Jersey 4,308 599 13.9% 554 1,203 New Jersey 4,308 599 13.9% 554 1,223 New Mexico 905 1,769 293 16.5% 586 2,236 New Jersey 4,308 599 13.9% 1,302 2,177 New John Maryland 1,618 300 2,224 444 12.6% 88 1,977 New John Maryland 1,618 300 2,224 4,369 2,236 2,276 North Dakota 3,544 44 12.6% 88 1,977 New John Maryland 1,618 300 2,224 4,369 2,236 2,276 North Dakota 3,544 44 12.6% 88 1,977 New John Maryland 1,618 300 2,224 4,367 New Hampshire 680 83 12.22 4,367 North Dakota 3,544 44 12.6% 88 1,977 New John John Maryland 1,618 300 2,224 4,46 1,976 North Dakota 3,544 44 12.6% 88 1,977 North Dakota 415 5,08 982 17.8% 2,236 2,276 Oklahoma 1,618 300 2,224 4,46 1,374 2,202 Morth Dakota 415 67 67 62.23 2,346 1,979 North Dakota 415 67 444 444 1,379 897 2,061 West Virginia 3,811 624 444 14.3% 957 2,061 West Virginia 3	Alabama	2,051	537	26.2%	1,418	2,638
Arizona 2,761 583 21.1% 1,410 2,420 Arkansas 1,219 312 25.6% 764 2,448 California 16,909 3,210 19.0% 7,290 2,271 Colorado 2,450 373 15.2% 775 2,080 Connecticut 1,741 222 12.7% 453 2,043 Delaware 434 75 17.2% 166 2,221 Biotric of Columbia 328 55 16.9% 123 2,181 Florida 9,226 2,160 23.4% 5,100 2,361 Georgia 4,335 1,124 25.9% 2,901 2,580 Idaho 679 140 20.6% 308 2,201 Illinois 6,077 1,048 17.3% 2,452 2,338 Ilodian 3,030 564 18.6% 1,273 2,258 Iowa 1,427 217 15.2% 452 2,087 <td>Alaska</td> <td>363</td> <td>52</td> <td>14.3%</td> <td>102</td> <td>1.967</td>	Alaska	363	52	14.3%	102	1.967
Arkansas 1,219 312 25.6% 764 2,448 California 16,909 3,210 19.0% 7,290 2,271 Colorado 2,450 373 15,2% 775 2,080 Connecticut 1,741 222 12.7% 453 2,043 Delaware 434 75 17,2% 186 2,221 District of Columbia 328 55 16.9% 123 2,218 Florida 9,226 2,160 23.4% 5,100 2,361 Georgia 4,335 1,124 25.9% 2,901 2,580 Hawaii 665 115 17.2% 240 2,099 Idaho 679 140 20.6% 308 2,201 Illinois 6,077 1,048 17.3% 2,452 2,338 Indiana 3,030 564 18.6% 1,273 2,258 Iowa 1,427 217 15.2% 452 2,087	Arizona		583	21.1%	1,410	
California 16,909 3,210 19,0% 7,290 2,271 Colorado 2,450 373 15,2% 775 2,080 Connecticut 1,741 222 12,7% 453 2,043 Delaware 434 75 17,2% 166 2,221 District of Columbia 328 55 18,9% 123 2,218 Florida 9,226 2,160 23,4% 5,100 2,361 Georgia 4,335 1,124 25,9% 2,901 2,580 Idaho 679 140 20,6% 308 2,201 Illinois 6,077 1,048 17,3% 2,452 2,338 Indiana 3,030 564 18,6% 1,273 2,258 Iowa 1,427 217 15,2% 452 2,087 Kentucky 1,879 415 22,1% 452 2,087 Kentucky 1,879 415 22,1% 941 2,265 <td></td> <td></td> <td>312</td> <td>25.6%</td> <td></td> <td></td>			312	25.6%		
Colorado 2,450 373 15,2% 775 2,080 Connecticut 1,741 222 12,7% 453 2,043 Delaware 434 75 17,2% 166 2,221 District of Columbia 328 55 16,9% 123 2,218 Florida 9,226 2,160 23,4% 5,100 2,361 Georgia 4,335 1,124 25,9% 2,901 2,880 Hawaii 665 115 17,2% 240 2,099 Idaho 6679 140 20,6% 308 2,201 Illinois 6,077 1,048 17,3% 2,452 2,338 Indiana 3,030 564 18,6% 1,273 2,288 Indiana 2,030 564 18,6% 1,273 2,288 Kentucky 1,879 415 22,1% 487 2,203 Kentucky 1,879 415 22,1% 941 2,262						
Connecticut 1,741 222 12.7% 453 2,043 Delaware 434 75 17.2% 166 2,221 District of Columbia 328 55 16.9% 123 2,218 Florida 9,226 2,160 23.4% 5,100 2,361 Georgia 4,335 1,124 25.9% 2,901 2,580 Hawaii 665 115 17.2% 240 2,099 Idaho 679 140 20.6% 308 2,201 Illinois 6,077 1,048 17.3% 2,452 2,338 Indiana 3,030 564 18.6% 1,273 2,258 Iowa 1,427 217 15.2% 452 2,087 Kansas 1,324 221 16.7% 487 2,203 Kentucky 1,879 415 22.1% 941 2,266 Louisiana 2,012 542 26.9% 1,422 2,625						
Delaware 434 75 17.2% 166 2,221 District of Columbia 328 55 16.9% 123 2,218 Florida 9,226 2,160 23.4% 5,100 2,361 Georgia 4,335 1,124 25.9% 2,901 2,580 Idaho 665 115 17.2% 240 2,099 Idaho 667 140 20.6% 308 2,201 Illinois 6,077 1,048 17.3% 2,452 2,338 Indiana 3,030 564 18.6% 1,273 2,258 Iowa 1,427 217 15.2% 452 2,087 Kansas 1,324 221 16.7% 487 2,203 Kentucky 1,879 415 22.1% 941 2,266 Louisiana 2,012 542 26.9% 1,422 2,625 Maine 631 106 16.7% 206 1,947						
District of Columbia 328 55 16.9% 123 2,218 Florida 9,226 2,160 23.4% 5,100 2,361 Georgia 4,335 1,124 25.9% 2,901 2,580 Hawaii 665 115 17.2% 240 2,099 Idaho 6679 140 20.6% 308 2,201 Illinois 6,077 1,048 17.3% 2,452 2,338 Indiana 3,030 564 18.6% 1,273 2,258 Iowa 1,427 217 15.2% 452 2,087 Kansas 1,324 221 16.7% 487 2,203 Kentucky 1,879 415 22.1% 941 2,266 Maine 631 106 16.7% 487 2,203 Maryland 2,861 425 14.9% 931 2,189 Massachusetts 3,264 414 2,79 810 1,93 2,296						
Florida 9,226 2,160 23.4% 5,100 2,361 Georgia 4,335 1,124 25.9% 2,901 2,580 Hawaii 665 1115 17.2% 240 2,099 Idaho 679 140 20.6% 308 2,201 Illinois 6,077 1,048 17.3% 2,452 2,338 Indiana 3,030 564 18.6% 1,273 2,258 Iowa 1,427 217 15.2% 452 2,087 Kansas 1,324 221 16.7% 487 2,203 Kentucky 18.79 415 22.1% 941 2,266 Louisiana 2,012 542 26.9% 1,422 2,625 Maine 631 106 16.7% 206 1,947 Marsachusetts 3,264 414 12.7% 810 1,958 Michigan 4,631 846 18.3% 1,943 2,296 Michigan 4,631 846 18.3% 1,943 2,296 Missouri 2,728 537 19.7% 1,222 2,778 Missouri 2,728 537 19.7% 1,222 2,778 Montana 485 85 17.5% 170 1,998 Nebraska 872 139 16.0% 303 2,177 Nevada 1,289 244 18.9% 554 2,267 New Hampshire 680 83 12.2% 154 1,850 New Jersey 4,308 599 13.9% 1,302 2,173 New Mexico 905 222 24.6% 511 2,301 New York 9,364 1,797 19.2% 3,989 2,220 North Carolina 4,288 950 22.2% 2,249 2,367 North Dakota 1,613 84 16.4% 18.9% 554 2,267 New Mexico 905 222 24.6% 511 2,301 New York 9,364 1,797 19.2% 3,989 2,220 North Carolina 4,288 950 22.2% 2,249 2,367 North Dakota 1,618 350 21.6% 88 1,977 Ohio 5,508 992 17.8% 2,236 2,276 Oklahoma 1,618 350 21.6% 822 2,346 Oregon 1,769 293 16.5% 586 2,004 Pennsylvania 6,134 942 15.4% 1,976 2,098 Rhode Island 513 84 16.4% 181 2,158 South 1,174 203 17.3% 486 2,253 Vermont 321 47 14.7% 86 1,813 Virginia 3,811 624 16.4% 13.7% 82 1,973 Virginia 7,88 160 20.3% 341 2,134 Virginia 7,81 160 20.3% 341 2,134 Virginia 7,81 160 20.3% 341 2,134 Virginia 3,811 6,24 16.4% 13.7% 82 1,973						
Georgia 4,335 1,124 25.9% 2,901 2,580 Hawaii 665 115 17.2% 240 2,099 Idaho 679 140 20.6% 308 2,201 Illinois 6,077 1,048 17.3% 2,452 2,338 Indiana 3,030 564 18.6% 1,273 2,258 Iowa 1,427 217 15.2% 485 2,203 Kansas 1,324 221 16.7% 487 2,203 Kentucky 1,879 415 22.1% 941 2,266 Louisiana 2,012 542 26.9% 1,422 2,625 Maine 631 106 16.7% 206 1,947 Maryland 2,861 425 14.9% 931 2,189 Maryland 2,861 425 14.9% 931 2,189 Massachusetts 3,264 414 12.7% 810 1,958 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td></th<>					-	
Hawaii						
Idaho 679 140 20.6% 308 2,201 Illinois 6,077 1,048 17.3% 2,452 2,338 Indiana 3,030 564 18.6% 1,273 2,258 Iowa 1,427 217 15.2% 452 2,087 Kansas 1,324 221 16.7% 487 2,203 Kentucky 1,879 415 22.1% 941 2,266 Louisiana 2,012 542 26.9% 1,422 2,625 Maine 631 106 16.7% 206 1,947 Maryland 2,861 425 14.9% 931 2,189 Massachusetts 3,264 414 12.7% 810 1,958 Michigan 4,631 846 18.3% 1,943 2,296 Minnesota 2,620 355 13.5% 718 2,025 Mississippi 1,250 406 32.4% 1,097 2,704						
Illinois						
Indiana						
Iowa 1,427 217 15.2% 452 2,087 Kansas 1,324 221 16.7% 487 2,203 Kentucky 1,879 415 22.1% 941 2,266 Louisiana 2,012 542 26.9% 1,422 2,625 Maine 631 106 16.7% 206 1,947 Maryland 2,861 425 14.9% 931 2,189 Massachusetts 3,264 414 12.7% 810 1,958 Michigan 4,631 846 18.3% 1,943 2,296 Misnesota 2,620 355 13.5% 718 2,025 Missouri 2,728 537 19.7% 1,222 2,278 Montana 485 85 17.5% 170 1,998 Nebraska 872 139 16.0% 303 2,177 New Hampshire 680 83 12.2% 154 1,850 <					, -	
Kansas 1,324 221 16.7% 487 2,203 Kentucky 1,879 415 22.1% 941 2,266 Louisiana 2,012 542 26.9% 1,422 2,625 Maine 631 106 16.7% 206 1,947 Maryland 2,861 425 14.9% 931 2,189 Massachusetts 3,264 414 12.7% 810 1,958 Michigan 4,631 846 18.3% 1,943 2,296 Misnichigan 4,631 846 18.3% 1,943 2,296 Missouri 2,728 537 19.7% 1,222 2,278 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
Kentucky 1,879 415 22.1% 941 2,266 Louisiana 2,012 542 26.9% 1,422 2,625 Maine 631 106 16.7% 206 1,947 Maryland 2,861 425 14.9% 931 2,189 Massachusetts 3,264 414 12.7% 810 1,958 Michigan 4,631 846 18.3% 1,943 2,296 Michigan 4,631 846 18.3% 1,943 2,296 Mississippi 1,250 406 32.4% 1,097 2,704 Missouri 2,728 537 19.7% 1,222 2,278 Montana 485 85 17.5% 170 1,998 Nebraska 872 139 16.0% 303 2,177 Nevada 1,289 244 18.9% 554 2,267 New Hampshire 680 83 12.2% 154 1,850					-	
Louisiana 2,012 542 26.9% 1,422 2,625 Maine 631 106 16.7% 206 1,947 Maryland 2,861 425 14.9% 931 2,189 Massachusetts 3,264 414 12.7% 810 1,958 Michigan 4,631 846 18.3% 1,943 2,296 Minnesota 2,620 355 13.5% 718 2,025 Mississippi 1,250 406 32.4% 1,097 2,704 Missouri 2,728 537 19.7% 1,222 2,278 Montana 485 85 17.5% 170 1,998 Nebraska 872 139 16.0% 303 2,177 Nevada 1,289 244 18.9% 554 2,267 New Hampshire 680 83 12.2% 154 1,850 New Jersey 4,308 599 13.9% 1,302 2,173 <					-	
Maine 631 106 16.7% 206 1,947 Maryland 2,861 425 14.9% 931 2,189 Massachusetts 3,264 414 12.7% 810 1,958 Michigan 4,631 846 18.3% 1,943 2,296 Minnesota 2,620 355 13.5% 718 2,025 Mississippi 1,250 406 32.4% 1,097 2,704 Missouri 2,728 537 19.7% 1,222 2,278 Montana 485 85 17.5% 170 1,998 Nebraska 872 139 16.0% 303 2,177 New Hampshire 680 83 12.2% 154 1,850 New Jersey 4,308 599 13.9% 1,302 2,173 New Mexico 905 222 24.6% 511 2,301 New York 9,364 1,797 19.2% 3,989 2,220	,				-	
Maryland 2,861 425 14.9% 931 2,189 Massachusetts 3,264 414 12.7% 810 1,958 Michigan 4,631 846 18.3% 1,943 2,296 Minnesota 2,620 355 13.5% 718 2,025 Mississippi 1,250 406 32.4% 1,097 2,704 Missouri 2,728 537 19.7% 1,222 2,278 Montana 485 85 17.5% 170 1,998 Nebraska 872 139 16.0% 303 2,177 Nevada 1,289 244 18.9% 554 2,267 New Hampshire 680 83 12.2% 154 1,850 New Jersey 4,308 599 13.9% 1,302 2,173 New Mexico 905 222 24.6% 511 2,301 North Carolina 4,288 950 22.2% 2,49 2,367 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
Massachusetts 3,264 414 12.7% 810 1,958 Michigan 4,631 846 18.3% 1,943 2,296 Minnesota 2,620 355 13.5% 718 2,025 Mississippi 1,250 406 32.4% 1,097 2,704 Missouri 2,728 537 19.7% 1,222 2,278 Montana 485 85 17.5% 170 1,998 Nebraska 872 139 16.0% 303 2,177 Nevada 1,289 244 18.9% 554 2,267 New Hampshire 680 83 12.2% 154 1,850 New Jersey 4,308 599 13.9% 1,302 2,173 New Mexico 905 222 24.6% 511 2,301 New York 9,364 1,797 19.2% 3,989 2,220 North Carolina 4,288 950 22.2% 2,249 2,367						
Michigan 4,631 846 18.3% 1,943 2,296 Minnesota 2,620 355 13.5% 718 2,025 Mississippi 1,250 406 32.4% 1,097 2,704 Missouri 2,728 537 19.7% 1,222 2,278 Montana 485 85 17.5% 170 1,998 Nebraska 872 139 16.0% 303 2,177 Nevada 1,289 244 18.9% 554 2,267 New Hampshire 680 83 12.2% 154 1,850 New Jersey 4,308 599 13.9% 1,302 2,173 New Mexico 905 222 24.6% 511 2,301 New York 9,364 1,797 19.2% 3,989 2,220 North Dakota 354 44 12.6% 88 1,977 Ohio 5,508 982 17.8% 2,236 2,276 <t< td=""><td>•</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	•					
Minnesota 2,620 355 13.5% 718 2,025 Mississippi 1,250 406 32.4% 1,097 2,704 Missouri 2,728 537 19.7% 1,222 2,278 Montana 485 85 17.5% 170 1,998 Nebraska 872 139 16.0% 303 2,177 New dada 1,289 244 18.9% 554 2,267 New Hampshire 680 83 12.2% 154 1,850 New Jersey 4,308 599 13.9% 1,302 2,173 New Mexico 905 222 24.6% 511 2,301 New York 9,364 1,797 19.2% 3,989 2,220 North Carolina 4,288 950 22.2% 2,249 2,367 North Dakota 354 44 12.6% 88 1,977 Ohio 5,508 982 17.8% 2,236 2,276 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
Mississippi 1,250 406 32.4% 1,097 2,704 Missouri 2,728 537 19.7% 1,222 2,278 Montana 485 85 17.5% 170 1,998 Nebraska 872 139 16.0% 303 2,177 Nevada 1,289 244 18.9% 554 2,267 New Hampshire 680 83 12.2% 154 1,850 New Jersey 4,308 599 13.9% 1,302 2,173 New Mexico 905 222 24.6% 511 2,301 New York 9,364 1,797 19.2% 3,989 2,220 North Carolina 4,288 950 22.2% 2,249 2,367 North Dakota 354 44 12.6% 88 1,977 Ohio 5,508 982 17.8% 2,236 2,276 Oklahoma 1,618 350 21.6% 82 2,346	•					
Missouri 2,728 537 19.7% 1,222 2,278 Montana 485 85 17.5% 170 1,998 Nebraska 872 139 16.0% 303 2,177 Nevada 1,289 244 18.9% 554 2,267 New Hampshire 680 83 12.2% 154 1,850 New Jersey 4,308 599 13.9% 1,302 2,173 New Mexico 905 222 24.6% 511 2,301 New York 9,364 1,797 19.2% 3,989 2,220 North Carolina 4,288 950 22.2% 2,249 2,367 North Dakota 354 44 12.6% 88 1,977 Ohio 5,508 982 17.8% 2,236 2,276 Oklahoma 1,618 350 21.6% 822 2,346 Oregon 1,769 293 16.5% 586 2,004						
Montana 485 85 17.5% 170 1,998 Nebraska 872 139 16.0% 303 2,177 Nevada 1,289 244 18.9% 554 2,267 New Hampshire 680 83 12.2% 154 1,850 New Jersey 4,308 599 13.9% 1,302 2,173 New Mexico 905 222 24.6% 511 2,301 New York 9,364 1,797 19.2% 3,989 2,220 North Carolina 4,288 950 22.2% 2,249 2,367 North Dakota 354 44 12.6% 88 1,977 Ohio 5,508 982 17.8% 2,236 2,276 Oklahoma 1,618 350 21.6% 822 2,346 Oregon 1,769 293 16.5% 586 2,004 Pennsylvania 6,134 942 15.4% 1,976 2,098	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				,	, -
Nebraska 872 139 16.0% 303 2,177 Nevada 1,289 244 18.9% 554 2,267 New Hampshire 680 83 12.2% 154 1,850 New Jersey 4,308 599 13.9% 1,302 2,173 New Mexico 905 222 24.6% 511 2,301 New York 9,364 1,797 19.2% 3,989 2,220 North Carolina 4,288 950 22.2% 2,249 2,367 North Dakota 354 44 12.6% 88 1,977 Ohio 5,508 982 17.8% 2,236 2,276 Oklahoma 1,618 350 21.6% 82 2,346 Oregon 1,769 293 16.5% 586 2,004 Pennsylvania 6,134 942 15.4% 1,976 2,098 Rhode Island 513 84 16.4% 181 2,158						
Nevada 1,289 244 18.9% 554 2,267 New Hampshire 680 83 12.2% 154 1,850 New Jersey 4,308 599 13.9% 1,302 2,173 New Mexico 905 222 24.6% 511 2,301 New York 9,364 1,797 19.2% 3,989 2,220 North Carolina 4,288 950 22.2% 2,249 2,367 North Dakota 354 44 12.6% 88 1,977 Ohio 5,508 982 17.8% 2,236 2,276 Oklahoma 1,618 350 21.6% 822 2,346 Oregon 1,769 293 16.5% 586 2,004 Pennsylvania 6,134 942 15.4% 1,976 2,098 Rhode Island 513 84 16.4% 181 2,158 South Carolina 2,077 507 24.4% 1,223 2,411					-	
New Hampshire 680 83 12.2% 154 1,850 New Jersey 4,308 599 13.9% 1,302 2,173 New Mexico 905 222 24.6% 511 2,301 New York 9,364 1,797 19.2% 3,989 2,220 North Carolina 4,288 950 22.2% 2,249 2,367 North Dakota 354 44 12.6% 88 1,977 Ohio 5,508 982 17.8% 2,236 2,276 Oklahoma 1,618 350 21.6% 822 2,346 Oregon 1,769 293 16.5% 586 2,004 Pennsylvania 6,134 942 15.4% 1,976 2,098 Rhode Island 513 84 16.4% 181 2,158 South Carolina 2,077 507 24.4% 1,223 2,411 South Dakota 415 67 16.2% 139 2,071 </td <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		-				
New Jersey 4,308 599 13.9% 1,302 2,173 New Mexico 905 222 24.6% 511 2,301 New York 9,364 1,797 19.2% 3,989 2,220 North Carolina 4,288 950 22.2% 2,249 2,367 North Dakota 354 44 12.6% 88 1,977 Ohio 5,508 982 17.8% 2,236 2,276 Oklahoma 1,618 350 21.6% 822 2,346 Oregon 1,769 293 16.5% 586 2,004 Pennsylvania 6,134 942 15.4% 1,976 2,098 Rhode Island 513 84 16.4% 181 2,158 South Carolina 2,077 507 24.4% 1,223 2,411 South Dakota 415 67 16.2% 139 2,071 Tenas 11,573 2,702 23.3% 6,924 2,562 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
New Mexico 905 222 24.6% 511 2,301 New York 9,364 1,797 19.2% 3,989 2,220 North Carolina 4,288 950 22.2% 2,249 2,367 North Dakota 354 44 12.6% 88 1,977 Ohio 5,508 982 17.8% 2,236 2,276 Oklahoma 1,618 350 21.6% 822 2,346 Oregon 1,769 293 16.5% 586 2,004 Pennsylvania 6,134 942 15.4% 1,976 2,098 Rhode Island 513 84 16.4% 181 2,158 South Carolina 2,077 507 24.4% 1,223 2,411 South Dakota 415 67 16.2% 139 2,071 Tennessee 2,882 673 23.4% 1,612 2,396 Texas 11,573 2,702 23.3% 6,924 2,562 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td>					-	
New York 9,364 1,797 19.2% 3,989 2,220 North Carolina 4,288 950 22.2% 2,249 2,367 North Dakota 354 44 12.6% 88 1,977 Ohio 5,508 982 17.8% 2,236 2,276 Oklahoma 1,618 350 21.6% 822 2,346 Oregon 1,769 293 16.5% 586 2,004 Pennsylvania 6,134 942 15.4% 1,976 2,098 Rhode Island 513 84 16.4% 181 2,158 South Carolina 2,077 507 24.4% 1,223 2,411 South Dakota 415 67 16.2% 139 2,071 Tennessee 2,882 673 23.4% 1,612 2,396 Texas 11,573 2,702 23.3% 6,924 2,562 Utah 1,174 203 17.3% 456 2,253	•					
North Carolina 4,288 950 22.2% 2,249 2,367 North Dakota 354 44 12.6% 88 1,977 Ohio 5,508 982 17.8% 2,236 2,276 Oklahoma 1,618 350 21.6% 822 2,346 Oregon 1,769 293 16.5% 586 2,004 Pennsylvania 6,134 942 15.4% 1,976 2,098 Rhode Island 513 84 16.4% 181 2,158 South Carolina 2,077 507 24.4% 1,223 2,411 South Dakota 415 67 16.2% 139 2,071 Tennessee 2,882 673 23.4% 1,612 2,396 Texas 11,573 2,702 23.3% 6,924 2,562 Utah 1,174 203 17.3% 456 2,253 Vermont 321 47 14.7% 86 1,813						
North Dakota 354 44 12.6% 88 1,977 Ohio 5,508 982 17.8% 2,236 2,276 Oklahoma 1,618 350 21.6% 822 2,346 Oregon 1,769 293 16.5% 586 2,004 Pennsylvania 6,134 942 15.4% 1,976 2,098 Rhode Island 513 84 16.4% 181 2,158 South Carolina 2,077 507 24.4% 1,223 2,411 South Dakota 415 67 16.2% 139 2,071 Tennessee 2,882 673 23.4% 1,612 2,396 Texas 11,573 2,702 23.3% 6,924 2,562 Utah 1,174 203 17.3% 456 2,253 Vermont 321 47 14.7% 86 1,813 Virginia 3,811 624 16.4% 1,374 2,202 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>						
Ohio 5,508 982 17.8% 2,236 2,276 Oklahoma 1,618 350 21.6% 822 2,346 Oregon 1,769 293 16.5% 586 2,004 Pennsylvania 6,134 942 15.4% 1,976 2,098 Rhode Island 513 84 16.4% 181 2,158 South Carolina 2,077 507 24.4% 1,223 2,411 South Dakota 415 67 16.2% 139 2,071 Tennessee 2,882 673 23.4% 1,612 2,396 Texas 11,573 2,702 23.3% 6,924 2,562 Utah 1,174 203 17.3% 456 2,253 Vermont 321 47 14.7% 86 1,813 Virginia 3,811 624 16.4% 1,374 2,202 Washington 3,244 464 14.3% 957 2,061						
Oklahoma 1,618 350 21.6% 822 2,346 Oregon 1,769 293 16.5% 586 2,004 Pennsylvania 6,134 942 15.4% 1,976 2,098 Rhode Island 513 84 16.4% 181 2,158 South Carolina 2,077 507 24.4% 1,223 2,411 South Dakota 415 67 16.2% 139 2,071 Tennessee 2,882 673 23.4% 1,612 2,396 Texas 11,573 2,702 23.3% 6,924 2,562 Utah 1,174 203 17.3% 456 2,253 Vermont 321 47 14.7% 86 1,813 Virginia 3,811 624 16.4% 1,374 2,202 Washington 3,244 464 14.3% 957 2,061 West Virginia 788 160 20.3% 341 2,134						
Oregon 1,769 293 16.5% 586 2,004 Pennsylvania 6,134 942 15.4% 1,976 2,098 Rhode Island 513 84 16.4% 181 2,158 South Carolina 2,077 507 24.4% 1,223 2,411 South Dakota 415 67 16.2% 139 2,071 Tennessee 2,882 673 23.4% 1,612 2,396 Texas 11,573 2,702 23.3% 6,924 2,562 Utah 1,174 203 17.3% 456 2,253 Vermont 321 47 14.7% 86 1,813 Virginia 3,811 624 16.4% 1,374 2,202 Washington 3,244 464 14.3% 957 2,061 West Virginia 788 160 20.3% 341 2,134 Wisconsin 2,778 400 14.4% 834 2,082 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
Pennsylvania 6,134 942 15.4% 1,976 2,098 Rhode Island 513 84 16.4% 181 2,158 South Carolina 2,077 507 24.4% 1,223 2,411 South Dakota 415 67 16.2% 139 2,071 Tennessee 2,882 673 23.4% 1,612 2,396 Texas 11,573 2,702 23.3% 6,924 2,562 Utah 1,174 203 17.3% 456 2,253 Vermont 321 47 14.7% 86 1,813 Virginia 3,811 624 16.4% 1,374 2,202 Washington 3,244 464 14.3% 957 2,061 West Virginia 788 160 20.3% 341 2,134 Wisconsin 2,778 400 14.4% 834 2,082 Wyoming 302 41 13.7% 82 1,973 <						
Rhode Island 513 84 16.4% 181 2,158 South Carolina 2,077 507 24.4% 1,223 2,411 South Dakota 415 67 16.2% 139 2,071 Tennessee 2,882 673 23.4% 1,612 2,396 Texas 11,573 2,702 23.3% 6,924 2,562 Utah 1,174 203 17.3% 456 2,253 Vermont 321 47 14.7% 86 1,813 Virginia 3,811 624 16.4% 1,374 2,202 Washington 3,244 464 14.3% 957 2,061 West Virginia 788 160 20.3% 341 2,134 Wisconsin 2,778 400 14.4% 834 2,082 Wyoming 302 41 13.7% 82 1,973	· ·					
South Carolina 2,077 507 24.4% 1,223 2,411 South Dakota 415 67 16.2% 139 2,071 Tennessee 2,882 673 23.4% 1,612 2,396 Texas 11,573 2,702 23.3% 6,924 2,562 Utah 1,174 203 17.3% 456 2,253 Vermont 321 47 14.7% 86 1,813 Virginia 3,811 624 16.4% 1,374 2,202 Washington 3,244 464 14.3% 957 2,061 West Virginia 788 160 20.3% 341 2,134 Wisconsin 2,778 400 14.4% 834 2,082 Wyoming 302 41 13.7% 82 1,973	•		-			
South Dakota 415 67 16.2% 139 2,071 Tennessee 2,882 673 23.4% 1,612 2,396 Texas 11,573 2,702 23.3% 6,924 2,562 Utah 1,174 203 17.3% 456 2,253 Vermont 321 47 14.7% 86 1,813 Virginia 3,811 624 16.4% 1,374 2,202 Washington 3,244 464 14.3% 957 2,061 West Virginia 788 160 20.3% 341 2,134 Wisconsin 2,778 400 14.4% 834 2,082 Wyoming 302 41 13.7% 82 1,973			-		-	
Tennessee 2,882 673 23.4% 1,612 2,396 Texas 11,573 2,702 23.3% 6,924 2,562 Utah 1,174 203 17.3% 456 2,253 Vermont 321 47 14.7% 86 1,813 Virginia 3,811 624 16.4% 1,374 2,202 Washington 3,244 464 14.3% 957 2,061 West Virginia 788 160 20.3% 341 2,134 Wisconsin 2,778 400 14.4% 834 2,082 Wyoming 302 41 13.7% 82 1,973						
Texas 11,573 2,702 23.3% 6,924 2,562 Utah 1,174 203 17.3% 456 2,253 Vermont 321 47 14.7% 86 1,813 Virginia 3,811 624 16.4% 1,374 2,202 Washington 3,244 464 14.3% 957 2,061 West Virginia 788 160 20.3% 341 2,134 Wisconsin 2,778 400 14.4% 834 2,082 Wyoming 302 41 13.7% 82 1,973			-			
Utah 1,174 203 17.3% 456 2,253 Vermont 321 47 14.7% 86 1,813 Virginia 3,811 624 16.4% 1,374 2,202 Washington 3,244 464 14.3% 957 2,061 West Virginia 788 160 20.3% 341 2,134 Wisconsin 2,778 400 14.4% 834 2,082 Wyoming 302 41 13.7% 82 1,973						
Vermont 321 47 14.7% 86 1,813 Virginia 3,811 624 16.4% 1,374 2,202 Washington 3,244 464 14.3% 957 2,061 West Virginia 788 160 20.3% 341 2,134 Wisconsin 2,778 400 14.4% 834 2,082 Wyoming 302 41 13.7% 82 1,973						
Virginia 3,811 624 16.4% 1,374 2,202 Washington 3,244 464 14.3% 957 2,061 West Virginia 788 160 20.3% 341 2,134 Wisconsin 2,778 400 14.4% 834 2,082 Wyoming 302 41 13.7% 82 1,973						
Washington 3,244 464 14.3% 957 2,061 West Virginia 788 160 20.3% 341 2,134 Wisconsin 2,778 400 14.4% 834 2,082 Wyoming 302 41 13.7% 82 1,973						
West Virginia 788 160 20.3% 341 2,134 Wisconsin 2,778 400 14.4% 834 2,082 Wyoming 302 41 13.7% 82 1,973	-					
Wisconsin 2,778 400 14.4% 834 2,082 Wyoming 302 41 13.7% 82 1,973						
Wyoming 302 41 13.7% 82 1,973	•					
7. 3						
	Other areas [2]	674	30	4.4%	67	2,273

^{[1] &}quot;Earned income credit" includes both the refundable and non-refundable portions. The non-refundable portion could reduce income tax and certain related taxes to zero; credit amounts in excess of tax, or amounts when there was no tax liability at all, were refundable.

^[2] Includes, for example, returns filed from Army Post Office and Fleet Post Office addresses by members of the armed forces stationed overseas; returns filed by other U.S. citizens abroad; and returns filed by residents of Puerto Rico with income from sources outside Puerto Rico or with income earned as U.S. government employees. NOTES: (a) This table presents aggregates of all returns filed and processed through the Individual Master File (IMF) system during Calendar Year 2013, including any returns filed for tax years preceding 2012.

⁽b) In general, during administrative or Master File processing, taxpayer reporting discrepancies are corrected only to the extent necessary to verify the income tax liability reported. Most of the other corrections to the taxpayer records used for these statistics could not be made because of time and resource constraints. The statistics in this table should, therefore, be used with the knowledge that some of the data have not been perfected or edited for statistical purposes.

⁽c) Classification by State was usually based on the taxpayer's home address. However, some taxpayers may have used the address of a tax lawyer, or accountant, or the address of a place of business; moreover, such addresses could each have been located in a State other than the State in which the taxpayer resided. (d) For explanation of the tax law changes which could affect the year-to-year analysis of data, refer to the respective years' "Individual Income Tax Returns, Preliminary Data" article published in the SOI Spring Bulletin. For further explanation of the tax terms, refer to the "Individual Income Tax Returns," Publication 1304. SOURCE: IRS, Statistics of Income Division, Individual Master File System, December 2013, and Tax Policy Center calculations.

29-Jan-16 Earned Income Tax Credit by State, Tax Year 2011

	Total	Number of		Amount of	Average
	number of	returns with	Percent of	credit	credit per
State	returns	EITC [1]	returns with	(millions of	return
	(thousands)	(thousands)	EITC	dollars)	(dollars)
United States	146,456	27,956	19.1%	62,953	2,252
Alabama	2,092	550	26.3%	1,414	2,570
Alaska	371	51	13.8%	98	1,922
Arizona	2,790	591	21.2%	1,381	2,337
Arkansas	1,234	319	25.8%	760	2,386
California	17,062	3,274	19.2%	7,251	2,215
Colorado	2,421	373	15.4%	757	2,031
Connecticut	1,747	218	12.5%	432	1,982
Delaware	434	74	17.0%	159	2,158
District of Columbia	330	57	17.3%	128	2,245
Florida	9,696	2,127	21.9%	4,841	2,276
Georgia	4,672	1,141	24.4%	2,833	2,483
Hawaii	662	115	17.3%	236	2,054
Idaho	671	140	20.9%	302	2,153
Illinois	6,122	1,063	17.4%	2,418	2,275
Indiana	3,018	564	18.7%	1,242	2,202
lowa	1,421	216	15.2%	437	2,025
Kansas	1,325	224	16.9%	479	2,139
Kentucky	1,877	416	22.2%	925	2,223
Louisiana	2,023	553	27.3%	1,415	2,560
Maine	633	106	16.7%	200	1,887
Maryland	2,838	422	14.9%	903	2,139
Massachusetts	3,258	409	12.5%	783	1,914
Michigan	4,677	861	18.4%	1,912	2,220
Minnesota	2,602	356	13.7%	696	1,955
Mississippi	1,287	422	32.8%	1,107	2,623
Missouri	2,729	540	19.8%	1,197	2,217
Montana	481	87	18.0%	169	1,954
Nebraska	868	140	16.1%	296	2,108
Nevada	1,298	244	18.8%	540	2,217
New Hampshire	678	83	12.2%	150	1,816
New Jersey	4,326	599	13.9%	1,274	2,127
New Mexico	914	223	24.4%	503	2,255
New York	9,388	1,790	19.1%	3,888	2,172
North Carolina	4,295	954	22.2%	2,201	2,307
North Dakota	344	45	13.1%	87	1,937
Ohio	5,509	990	18.0%	2,183	2,206
Oklahoma	1,617	358	22.2%	821	2,291
Oregon Pennsylvania	1,758 6,183	291 946	16.6% 15.3%	570 1,930	1,959
Rhode Island	513	83	16.3%	1,930	2,041
South Carolina	2.091	513	24.5%	1,207	2,106 2,354
South Dakota	2,091 411	66	16.2%	1,207	2,021
Tennessee	2,903	682	23.5%	1,588	2,330
Texas			23.8%		
Utah	11,417 1,160	2,715 204	23.8% 17.6%	6,841 452	2,520 2,219
Vermont	321	47	14.7%	83	1,764
Virginia	3,802	623	16.4%	1,334	2,141
Washington	3,217	460	14.3%	923	2,008
West Virginia	792	162	20.4%	336	2,008
Wisconsin	2,773	400	14.4%	812	2,076
Wyoming	2,773	39	13.3%	75	1,899
Other areas [2]	1,110	33	3.0%	74	2,236
المان مالامة إلا إ	1,110	33	3.0 /0	74	۷,۷۵٥

^{[1] &}quot;Earned income credit" includes both the refundable and non-refundable portions. The non-refundable portion could reduce income tax and certain related taxes to zero; credit amounts in excess of tax, or amounts when there was no tax liability at all, were refundable.

^[2] Includes, for example, returns filed from Army Post Office and Fleet Post Office addresses by members of the armed forces stationed overseas; returns filed by other U.S. citizens abroad; and returns filed by residents of Puerto Rico with income from sources outside Puerto Rico or with income earmed as U.S. government employees. NOTES: (a) This table presents aggregates of all returns filed and processed through the Individual Master File (IMF) system during Calendar Year 2012, including any returns filed for tax years preceding 2011.

⁽b) In general, during administrative or Master File processing, taxpayer reporting discrepancies are corrected only to the extent necessary to verify the income tax liability reported. Most of the other corrections to the taxpayer records used for these statistics could not be made because of time and resource constraints. The statistics in this table should, therefore, be used with the knowledge that some of the data have not been perfected or edited for statistical purposes.

⁽c) Classification by State was usually based on the taxpayer's home address. However, some taxpayers may have used the address of a tax lawyer, or accountant, or the address of a place of business; moreover, such addresses could each have been located in a State other than the State in which the taxpayer resided. (d) For explanation of the tax law changes which could affect the year-to-year analysis of data, refer to the respective years' "Individual Income Tax Returns, Preliminary Data" article published in the SOI Spring Bulletin. For further explanation of the tax terms, refer to the "Individual Income Tax Returns," Publication 1304. SOURCE: IRS, Statistics of Income Division, Individual Master File System, December 2012, and Tax Policy Center calculations.

			1	1	
	Total	Number of	_	Amount of	Average
	number of	returns with	Percent of	credit	credit per
State	returns	EITC [1]	returns with	(millions of	return
	(thousands)	(thousands)	EITC	dollars)	(dollars)
United States	144,002	27,524	19.1%	60,594	2,202
Alabama	2,102	549	26.1%	1,380	2,513
Alaska	374	49	13.1%	91	1,858
Arizona	2,719	571	21.0%	1,295	2,268
Arkansas	1,224	318	26.0%	741	2,329
California	16,684	3,166	19.0%	6,858	2,166
Colorado	2,370	363	15.3%	718	1,981
Connecticut	1,728	209	12.1%	405	1,934
Delaware	428	73	17.0%	152	2,085
District of Columbia	323	54	16.8%	112	2,080
Florida	9,631	2,113	21.9%	4,679	2,214
Georgia	4,590	1,142	24.9%	2,764	2,421
Hawaii	653	112	17.1%	223	1,991
Idaho	663	139	21.0%	295	2,116
Illinois	6,044	1,043	17.3%	2,315	2,220
Indiana	2,982	553	18.6%	1,184	2,139
Iowa	1,400	214	15.3%	424	1,980
Kansas	1,307	221	16.9%	461	2,084
Kentucky	1,856	412	22.2%	895	2,170
Louisiana	1,991	550	27.6%	1,378	2,505
Maine	625	105	16.8%	194	1,855
Maryland	2,787	412	14.8%	860	2,085
Massachusetts	3,203	395	12.3%	741	1,873
Michigan	4,607	847	18.4%	1,823	2,152
Minnesota	2,561	350	13.6%	666	1,906
Mississippi	1,283	422	32.8%	1,079	2,559
Missouri	2,689	534	19.9%	1,155	2,164
Montana	475	87	18.3%	167	1,924
Nebraska	854	138	16.1%	283	2,056
Nevada	1,264	238	18.8%	520	2,184
New Hampshire	664	81	12.2%	145	1,784
New Jersey	4,286	581	13.6%	1,217	2,095
New Mexico	913	224	24.5%	491	2,195
New York	9,272	1,754	18.9%	3,739	2,131
North Carolina	4,203	932	22.2%	2,099	2,253
North Dakota	330	45	13.6%	85	1,897
Ohio	5,437	979	18.0%	2,102	2,147
Oklahoma	1,590	360	22.6%	805	2,238
Oregon	1,743	287	16.5%	549	1,915
Pennsylvania	6,130	930	15.2%	1,858	1,998
Rhode Island	509	81	15.9%	169	2,083
South Carolina	2,052	506	24.7%	1,167	2,306
South Dakota	394	67	17.0%	133	1,996
Tennessee	2,847	677	23.8%	1,543	2,278
Texas	10,996	2,704	24.6%	6,693	2,476
Utah	1,135	201	17.7%	435	2,163
Vermont	318	47	14.7%	82	1,740
Virginia	3,729	614	16.5%	1,286	2,096
Washington	3,169	449	14.2%	879	1,960
West Virginia	783	163	20.9%	333	2,039
Wisconsin	2,742	390	14.2%	776	1,989
Wyoming	276	40	14.4%	74	1,861
Other areas [2]	1,067	35	3.2%	77	2,230

^{[1] &}quot;Earned income credit" includes both the refundable and non-refundable portions. The non-refundable portion could reduce income tax and certain related taxes to zero; credit amounts in excess of tax, or amounts when there was no tax liability at all, were refundable.

^[2] Includes, for example, returns filed from Army Post Office and Fleet Post Office addresses by members of the armed forces stationed overseas; returns filed by other U.S. citizens abroad; and returns filed by residents of Puerto Rico with income from sources outside Puerto Rico or with income earned as U.S. government employees. NOTES: (a) This table presents aggregates of all returns filed and processed through the Individual Master File (IMF) system during Calendar Year 2011, including any returns filed for tax years preceding 2010.

⁽b) In general, during administrative or Master File processing, taxpayer reporting discrepancies are corrected only to the extent necessary to verify the income tax liability reported. Most of the other corrections to the taxpayer records used for these statistics could not be made because of time and resource constraints. The statistics in this table should, therefore, be used with the knowledge that some of the data have not been perfected or edited for statistical purposes.

⁽c) Classification by State was usually based on the taxpayer's home address. However, some taxpayers may have used the address of a tax lawyer, or accountant, or the address of a place of business; moreover, such addresses could each have been located in a State other than the State in which the taxpayer resided.

⁽d) For explanation of the tax law changes which could affect the year-to-year analysis of data, refer to the respective years' "Individual Income Tax Returns, Preliminary Data" article published in the SOI Spring Bulletin. For further explanation of the tax terms, refer to the "Individual Income Tax Returns," Publication 1304.

SOURCE: IRS, Statistics of Income Division, Individual Master File System, November 2012, and Tax Policy Center calculations.

	Total	Number of		Amount of	Average
	number of	returns with	Percent of	credit	credit per
State	returns	EITC [1]	returns with	(millions of	return
	(thousands)	(thousands)	EITC	dollars)	(dollars)
United States	141,459	27,195	19.2%	59,697	2,195
Alabama	2,049	556	27.2%	1,395	2,507
Alaska	358	50	14.0%	94	1,887
Arizona	2,671	554	20.7%	1,247	2,252
Arkansas	1,212	321	26.5%	746	2,326
California	16,384	3,065	18.7%	6,641	2,167
Colorado	2,332	354	15.2%	704	1,985
Connecticut	1,712	208	12.2%	402	1,927
Delaware	420	71	16.9%	148	2,080
District of Columbia	312	53	17.0%	108	2,039
Florida	8,911	2,044	22.9%	4,523	2,213
Georgia	4,448	1,105	24.8%	2,689	2,435
Hawaii	649	108	16.7%	213	1,963
Idaho	658	139	21.1%	293	2,110
Illinois	6,008	1,035	17.2%	2,286	2,208
Indiana	2,951	555	18.8%	1,180	2,124
Iowa	1,392	217	15.6%	430	1,981
Kansas	1,310	220	16.8%	457	2,080
Kentucky	1,841	416	22.6%	894	2,150
Louisiana	1,960	550	28.1%	1,383	2,513
Maine	625	105	16.9%	196	1,857
Maryland	2,751	406	14.8%	842	2,075
Massachusetts	3,172	390	12.3%	731	1,873
Michigan	4,535	834	18.4%	1,798	2,156
Minnesota	2,542	347	13.7%	662	1,907
Mississippi	1,241	419	33.8%	1,074	2,562
Missouri	2,684	533	19.9%	1,147	2,150
Montana	472	88	18.7%	171	1,938
Nebraska	846	137	16.2%	281	2,046
Nevada	1,244	225	18.1%	477	2,123
New Hampshire	659	80	12.2%	143	1,785
New Jersey	4,237	576	13.6%	1,199	2,082
New Mexico	912	226	24.8%	496	2,191
New York	9,117	1,725	18.9%	3,647	2,114
North Carolina	4,145	933	22.5%	2,095	2,245
North Dakota	323	46	14.1%	87	1,915
Ohio	5,410	979	18.1%	2,084	2,129
Oklahoma	1,586	363	22.9%	808	2,224
Oregon	1,733	288	16.6%	553	1,923
Pennsylvania	6,059	934	15.4%	1,865	1,998
Rhode Island	502	81	16.2%	168	2,075
South Carolina	2,024	508	25.1%	1,165	2,292
South Dakota	385	67	17.4%	135	2,007
Tennessee	2,795	676	24.2%	1,524	2,254
Texas	10,785	2,676	24.8%	6,604	2,468
Utah	1,125	196	17.4%	420	2,146
Vermont	316	46	14.7%	81	1,754
Virginia	3,686	606	16.4%	1,264	2,087
Washington	3,145	448	14.2%	880	1,964
West Virginia	778	166	21.4%	338	2,029
Wisconsin	2,728	394	14.4%	780	1,982
Wyoming	269	39	14.6%	74	1,877
Other areas [2]	1,054	34	3.2%	76	2,230
	,		/-		,

^{* -} Data for Tax Year 2009 includes returns that were filed by individuals only to receive the economic stimulus payment and who had no other reason to file. This may affect the data for various items shown in the table such as the total number of returns filed.

- [2] Includes, for example, returns filed from Army Post Office and Fleet Post Office addresses by members of the armed forces stationed overseas; returns filed by other U.S. citizens abroad; and returns filed by residents of Puerto Rico with income from sources outside Puerto Rico or with income earned as U.S. government employees. NOTES: (a) This table presents aggregates of all returns filed and processed through the Individual Master File (IMF) system during Calendar Year 2010, including any returns filed for tax years preceding 2009.
- (b) In general, during administrative or Master File processing, taxpayer reporting discrepancies are corrected only to the extent necessary to verify the income tax liability reported. Most of the other corrections to the taxpayer records used for these statistics could not be made because of time and resource constraints. The statistics in this table should, therefore, be used with the knowledge that some of the data have not been perfected or edited for statistical purposes.
- (c) Classification by State was usually based on the taxpayer's home address. However, some taxpayers may have used the address of a tax lawyer, or accountant, or the address of a place of business; moreover, such addresses could each have been located in a State other than the State in which the taxpayer resided.

 (d) For explanation of the tax law changes which could affect the year-to-year analysis of data, refer to the respective years' "Individual Income Tax Returns, Preliminary Data" article published in the SOI Spring Bulletin. For further explanation of the tax terms, refer to the "Individual Income Tax Returns," Publication 1304. SOURCE: IRS, Statistics of Income Division, Individual Master File System, December 2010, and Tax Policy Center calculations.

^{[1] &}quot;Earned income credit" includes both the refundable and non-refundable portions. The non-refundable portion could reduce income tax and certain related taxes to zero. The earned income credit amounts in excess of total tax liability, or amounts when there was no tax liability at all, were refundable.

8-Dec-10

Earned Income Tax Credit by State. Tax Year 2008*

	T-4-1	No see le see se	1	A	A
	Total	Number of		Amount of	Average
State	number of	returns with	Percent of	credit	credit per
State	returns	EITC [1]	returns with	(millions of	return
-	(thousands)	(thousands)	EITC	dollars)	(dollars)
United States	143,490	24,780	17.3%	50,720	2,047
Alabama	2,076	24,780 524	25.2%	1,237	2,360
Alaska	360	38	10.7%	62	1,606
Arizona	2,714	487	17.9%	1,001	2,056
Arkansas	1,224	302	24.7%	656	2,030
California	16,478	2,730	16.6%	5,481	2,171
Colorado	2,341	308	13.2%	564	1,829
Connecticut	1,742	191	10.9%	346	1,816
Delaware	425	66	15.5%	128	1,938
District of Columbia	303	50	16.6%	94	
Florida	8,875	1,853	20.9%	3,825	1,876 2,064
Georgia	4,255	1,023	24.0%	2,339	2,004
Hawaii	4,255 656	1,023	14.8%	173	1,778
Idaho	667	120	18.0%	233	1,937
Illinois	6,112	954	15.6%	1,979	2,074
Indiana	3,019	505	16.7%	998	1,979
lowa	1,415	194	13.7%	358	1,846
Kansas	1,329	196	14.7%	373	1,906
Kentucky	1,869	386	20.7%	768	1,990
Louisiana	1,984	517	26.1%	1,223	2,367
Maine	634	95	15.0%	167	1,755
Maryland	2.776	375	13.5%	722	1,924
Massachusetts	3,198	353	11.0%	622	1,763
Michigan	4,626	758	16.4%	1.527	2,013
Minnesota	2,570	308	12.0%	548	1,778
Mississippi	1,255	399	31.8%	963	2,417
Missouri	2,739	490	17.9%	980	2,000
Montana	477	78	16.4%	142	1,809
Nebraska	858	123	14.3%	233	1,899
Nevada	1,272	196	15.4%	374	1,907
New Hampshire	669	71	10.6%	119	1,683
New Jersey	4,305	536	12.4%	1,049	1,959
New Mexico	923	210	22.8%	427	2,028
New York	9,204	1,622	17.6%	3,241	1,998
North Carolina	4,180	865	20.7%	1,819	2,104
North Dakota	323	41	12.6%	73	1,797
Ohio	5,563	896	16.1%	1,780	1,986
Oklahoma	1,605	330	20.5%	674	2,044
Oregon	1,754	258	14.7%	463	1,793
Pennsylvania	6,130	857	14.0%	1,612	1,881
Rhode Island	511	76	14.9%	148	1,955
South Carolina	2,047	478	23.3%	1,026	2,146
South Dakota	390	60	15.4%	112	1,867
Tennessee	2,843	627	22.0%	1,307	2,087
Texas	10,792	2,417	22.4%	5,517	2,283
Utah	1,145	164	14.3%	321	1,954
Vermont	320	42	13.2%	69	1,629
Virginia	3,728	553	14.8%	1,077	1,948
Washington	3,186	399	12.5%	725	1,817
West Virginia	786	153	19.4%	287	1,881
Wisconsin	2,768	348	12.6%	643	1,849
Wyoming	274	34	12.3%	59	1,758
Other areas [2]	1,794	29	1.6%	55	1,909

^{* -} Data for Tax Year 2008 includes returns that were filed by individuals only to receive the economic stimulus payment and who had no other reason to file. This may affect the data for various items shown in the table such as the total number of returns filed.

^{[1] &}quot;Earned income credit" includes both the refundable and non-refundable portions. The non-refundable portion could reduce income tax and certain related taxes to zero; credit amounts in excess of tax, or amounts when there was no tax liability at all, were refundable.

^[2] Includes, for example, returns filed from Army Post Office and Fleet Post Office addresses by members of the armed forces stationed overseas; returns filed by other U.S. citizens abroad; and returns filed by residents of Puerto Rico with income from sources outside Puerto Rico or with income earned as U.S. government employees. NOTES: (a) This table presents aggregates of all returns filed and processed through the Individual Master File (IMF) system during Calendar Year 2009, including any returns filed for tax years preceding 2008.

⁽b) In general, during administrative or Master File processing, taxpayer reporting discrepancies are corrected only to the extent necessary to verify the income tax liability reported. Most of the other corrections to the taxpayer records used for these statistics could not be made because of time and resource constraints. The statistics in this table should, therefore, be used with the knowledge that some of the data have not been perfected or edited for statistical purposes.

⁽c) Classification by State was usually based on the taxpayer's home address. However, some taxpayers may have used the address of a tax lawyer, or accountant, or the address of a place of business; moreover, such addresses could each have been located in a State other than the State in which the taxpayer resided.

(d) For explanation of the tax law changes which could affect the year-to-year analysis of data, refer to the respective years' "Individual Income Tax Returns, Preliminary Data" article published in the SOI Spring Bulletin. For further explanation of the tax terms, refer to the "Individual Income Tax Returns," Publication 1304.

SOURCE: IRS, Statistics of Income Division, Individual Master File System, May 2010, and Tax Policy Center calculations.

Earned Income Tax Credit by State, Tax Year 2007*

	Total	Number of	D	Amount of	Average
04-4-	number of	returns with	Percent of	credit	credit per
State	returns	EITC [1]	returns with	(millions of	return
	(thousands)	(thousands)	EITC	dollars)	(dollars)
United States	154,708	24,616	15.9%	48,712	1,979
Alabama	2,354	532	22.6%	1,221	2,298
Alaska	371	43	11.6%	70	1,629
Arizona	2,899	468	16.1%	924	1,975
Arkansas	1,393	304	21.8%	638	2,101
California	17,601	2,729	15.5%	5,311	1,946
Colorado	2,455	301	12.3%	530	1,761
Connecticut	1,868	190	10.2%	333	1,758
Delaware	455	65	14.2%	122	1,883
District of Columbia	316	51	16.0%	92	1,807
Florida	9,688	1,811	18.7%	3,580	1,977
Georgia	4,560	1,006	22.1%	2.220	2,206
Hawaii	694	96	13.8%	165	1,715
Idaho	722	116	16.0%	215	1,857
Illinois	6,559	947	14.4%	1,895	2,001
Indiana	3,243	492	15.2%	934	1,897
Iowa	1,539	195	12.6%	342	1,757
Kansas	1,401	196	14.0%	358	1,831
Kentucky	2,137	384	18.0%	735	1,912
Louisiana	2,146	526	24.5%	1,216	2,312
Maine	730	96	13.2%	163	1,699
Maryland	2,943	373	12.7%	693	1,860
Massachusetts	3,462	349	10.1%	593	1,701
Michigan	5,022	751	14.9%	1,457	1,940
Minnesota	2,734	305	11.1%	523	1,719
Mississippi	1,441	402	27.9%	945	2,350
Missouri	3,011	490	16.3%	942	1,924
Montana	514	78	15.2%	137	1,764
Nebraska	918	123	13.4%	225	1,824
Nevada	1,348	192	14.3%	351	1,826
New Hampshire	724	71	9.8%	116	1,633
New Jersey	4,577	532	11.6%	1,007	1,895
New Mexico	980	210	21.5%	414	1,966
New York	9,919	1,627	16.4%	3,142	1,931
North Carolina	4,602	861	18.7%	1,762	2,046
North Dakota	344	42	12.1%	72	1,733
Ohio	6,119	888	14.5%	1,697	1,911
Oklahoma	1,772	333	18.8%	660	1,980
Oregon	1,911	255	13.3%	443	1,738
Pennsylvania	6,697	855	12.8%	1,560	1,823
Rhode Island	568	75	13.1%	141	1,884
South Carolina	2,257	472	20.9%	979	2,072
South Dakota	417	60	14.4%	108	1,801
Tennessee	3,162	615	19.4%	1,238	2,014
Texas	11,279	2,417	21.4%	5,368	2,221
Utah Vermont	1,190 345	156 41	13.1% 12.0%	292 66	1,872 1,586
Vermont Virginia	345 4,016	545	12.0%	1,028	1,586
Washington	3,371	394	11.7%	1,028	1,885
West Virginia	926	394 155	16.7%	281	1,761
Wisconsin	2.958	341	11.5%	606	1,813
Wyoming	2,956	341	11.9%	58	1,698
Other areas [2]	1,765	27	1.5%	50	1,840
Other aleas [2]	1,700	21	1.0/0	50	1,040

^{* -} Data for Tax Year 2007 includes returns that were filed by individuals only to receive the economic stimulus payment and who had no other reason to file. This may affect the data for various items shown in the table such as the total number of returns filed.

^{[1] &}quot;Earned income credit" includes both the refundable and non-refundable portions. The non-refundable portion could reduce income tax and certain related taxes to zero; credit amounts in excess of tax, or amounts when there was no tax liability at all, were refundable.

^[2] Includes, for example, returns filed from Army Post Office and Fleet Post Office addresses by members of the armed forces stationed overseas; returns filed by other U.S. citizens abroad; and returns filed by residents of Puerto Rico with income from sources outside Puerto Rico or with income earned as U.S. government employees NOTES: (a) This table presents aggregates of all returns filed and processed through the Individual Master File (IMF) system during Calendar Year 2008, including any returns filed for tax years preceding 2007.

⁽b) In general, during administrative or Master File processing, taxpayer reporting discrepancies are corrected only to the extent necessary to verify the income tax liability reported. Most of the other corrections to the taxpayer records used for these statistics could not be made because of time and resource constraints. The statistics in this table should, therefore, be used with the knowledge that some of the data have not been perfected or edited for statistical purposes.

⁽c) Classification by State was usually based on the taxpayer's home address. However, some taxpayers may have used the address of a tax lawyer, or accountant, or the address of a place of business; moreover, such addresses could each have been located in a State other than the State in which the taxpayer resided.

(d) For explanation of the tax law changes which could affect the year-to-year analysis of data, refer to the respective years' "Individual Income Tax Returns, Preliminary Data" article published in the SOI Spring Bulletin. For further explanation of the tax terms, refer to the "Individual Income Tax Returns," Publication 1304.

SOURCE: IRS, Statistics of Income Division, Individual Master File System, May 2009, and Tax Policy Center calculations.

Earned Income Tax Credit by State, Tax Year 2006

	Total	Number of		Amount of	Average	
	number of	returns with	Percent of	credit	credit per	
State	returns	EITC [1]	returns with	(millions of	return	
	(thousands)	(thousands)	EITC	dollars)	(dollars)	
United States [2]	139,231	23,117	16.6%	44,651	1,932	
Alabama	2,029	510	25.1%	1,140	2,235	
Alaska	341	42	12.4%	69	1,631	
Arizona	2,597	426	16.4%	818	1,920	
Arkansas	1,185	289	24.4%	596	2,061	
California	15,988	2,516	15.7%	4,750	1,888	
Colorado	2,229	279	12.5%	483	1,729	
Connecticut	1,714	177	10.3%	302	1,708	
Delaware	412	60	14.7%	112	1,853	
District of Columbia	288	49	17.1%	89	1,810	
Florida	8,656	1,664	19.2%	3,206	1,926	
Georgia Hawaii	4,076	936	23.0%	2,010	2,148	
Idaho	638	89	13.9%	151	1,696	
Illinois	641 5,980	107 895	16.7% 15.0%	196 1,748	1,833	
Indiana	2,969	459	15.5%	852	1,954 1,856	
lowa	1,378	182	13.2%	313	1,721	
Kansas	1,376	183	14.2%	330	1,801	
Kentucky	1,823	361	19.8%	677	1,876	
Louisiana	1,823	510	26.9%	1,156	2,265	
Maine	634	90	14.2%	151	1,675	
Maryland	2,717	353	13.0%	643	1,818	
Massachusetts	3,144	326	10.4%	541	1,663	
Michigan	4,655	702	15.1%	1,333	1,898	
Minnesota	2,560	282	11.0%	474	1,682	
Mississippi	1,234	389	31.5%	888	2,286	
Missouri	2,721	463	17.0%	872	1,884	
Montana	466	75	16.0%	129	1,729	
Nebraska	833	116	13.9%	208	1,795	
Nevada	1,211	175	14.4%	312	1,782	
New Hampshire	661	65	9.9%	105	1,610	
New Jersey	4,230	504	11.9%	924	1,835	
New Mexico	887	200	22.6%	385	1,921	
New York	8,964	1,548	17.3%	2,903	1,875	
North Carolina	4,006	803	20.0%	1,601	1,995	
North Dakota	315	40	12.9%	68	1,689	
Ohio	5,521	835	15.1%	1,554	1,862	
Oklahoma	1,544	318	20.6%	622	1,955	
Oregon	1,695	235	13.9%	403	1,716	
Pennsylvania	6,041	813	13.5%	1,441	1,772	
Rhode Island	517	69	13.4%	126	1,815	
South Carolina	1,949	445	22.9%	903	2,027	
South Dakota	378	57	15.2%	101	1,757	
Tennessee	2,742	579	21.1%	1,138	1,965	
Texas	10,090	2,309	22.9%	4,996	2,164	
Utah	1,075	146	13.5%	267	1,834	
Vermont	319	39	12.3%	61	1,561	
Virginia	3,619	510	14.1%	944	1,851	
Washington	3,018	367	12.2%	636	1,732	
West Virginia	770	147	19.1%	263	1,789	
Wisconsin	2,738	319 33	11.7%	557 55	1,745	
Wyoming Other gross [3]	258	28	12.6%	55 48	1,695	
Other areas [3]	1,593	28	1.8%	48	1,696	

[1] "Earned income credit" includes both the refundable and non-refundable portions. The nonrefundable portion could reduce income tax and certain related taxes to zero; credit amounts in excess of tax, or amounts when there was no tax liability at all, were refundable.

[2] U.S. totals include (a) substitutes for returns, whereby the Internal Revenue Service constructs returns for certain nonfilers on the basis of available information and imposes an income tax on the resulting estimate of the tax base, i.e. "taxable income," and (b) returns of nonresident or departing aliens.

[3] Includes, for example, returns filed from Army Post Office and Fleet Post Office addresses by members of the armed forces stationed overseas; returns filed by other U.S. citizens abroad; and returns filed by residents of Puerto Rico with income from sources outside Puerto Rico or with income earned as U.S. Government employees.

NOTES: (a) This table presents aggregates of all returns filed and processed through the Individual Master File (IMF) system during Calendar Year 2007, including any returns filed for tax vears preceding 2006.

(b) In general, during administrative or Master File processing, taxpayer reporting discrepancies are corrected only to the extent necessary to verify the income tax liability reported. Most of the other corrections to the taxpayer records used for these statistics could not be made because of time and resource constraints. The statistics in this table should, therefore, be used with the knowledge that some of the data have not been perfected or edited for statistical purposes.

(c) Classification by State was usually based on the taxpayer's home address. However, some taxpayers may have used the address of a tax lawyer, or accountant, or the address of a place of business; moreover, such addresses could each have been located in a State other than the State in which the taxpayer resided.

(d) For explanation of the tax law changes which could affect the year-to-year analysis of data. refer to the respective years' "Individual Income Tax Returns, Preliminary Data" article published in the SOI Winter Bulletin. For further explanation of the tax terms, refer to the "Individual Income Tax Returns," Publication 1304. SOURCE: IRS, Statistics of Income Division, Individual Master File System, January 2008.

Earned Income Tax Credit by State, Tax Year 2005

·							
<u> </u>	Total	Number of		Amount of	Average		
	number of	returns with	Percent of	credit	credit per		
State	returns	EITC [1]	returns with	(millions of	return		
-	(thousands)	(thousands)	EITC	dollars)	(dollars)		
United States	135,258	22,748	16.8%	42,636	1,874		
Alabama	1,956	503	25.7%	1,090	2,167		
Alaska	347	42	12.0%	66	1,583		
Arizona	2,474	414	16.7%	771	1,864		
Arkansas	1,154	287	24.9%	574	2,000		
California	15,573	2,502	16.1%	4,576	1,829		
Colorado	2,160	275	12.7%	461	1,679		
Connecticut	1,682	173	10.3%	286	1,655		
Delaware	403	60	14.8%	108	1,807		
District of Columbia	282	50	17.7%	88	1,766		
Florida	8,411	1,632	19.4%	3,054	1,872		
Georgia	3,918	905	23.1%	1,876	2,072		
Hawaii	621	88	14.1%	144	1,643		
Idaho	614	106	17.3%	189	1,784		
Illinois	5,836	884	15.1%	1,669	1,888		
Indiana	2,884	446	15.5%	803	1,799		
lowa	1,347	177	13.2%	295	1,663		
Kansas	1,242	181	14.6%	319	1,759		
Kentucky	1,780	353	19.8%	643	1,821		
Louisiana	1,770	494	27.9%	1,089	2,203		
Maine	621	89	14.3%	145	1,631		
Maryland	2,674	352	13.2%	621	1,762		
Massachusetts	3,083	320	10.4%	518	1,619		
Michigan	4,563	681	14.9%	1,246	1,830		
Minnesota	2,446	272	11.1%	442	1,624		
Mississippi	1,170	377	32.2%	831	2,203		
Missouri	2,611 448	452 75	17.3% 16.7%	827 126	1,831 1,685		
Montana Nebraska	816	114	14.0%	199			
Nevada	1,150	169	14.0%	293	1,746 1,730		
New Hampshire	650	64	9.8%	100	1,730		
New Jersey	4,153	501	12.1%	890	1,776		
New Mexico	843	200	23.7%	373	1,867		
New York	8,716	1,527	17.5%	2,775	1,817		
North Carolina	3,880	789	20.3%	1,526	1,936		
North Dakota	307	40	13.1%	66	1,650		
Ohio	5.460	816	14.9%	1.471	1.804		
Oklahoma	1,496	319	21.3%	607	1,904		
Oregon	1,645	232	14.1%	391	1,685		
Pennsylvania	5,867	799	13.6%	1.377	1,722		
Rhode Island	502	68	13.5%	120	1,757		
South Carolina	1,885	439	23.3%	864	1,969		
South Dakota	367	56	15.4%	96	1,701		
Tennessee	2,658	565	21.3%	1,077	1,907		
Texas	9,728	2,289	23.5%	4,826	2,109		
Utah	1,031	146	14.1%	261	1,790		
Vermont	310	39	12.5%	59	1,533		
Virginia	3,541	504	14.2%	908	1,803		
Washington	2,932	365	12.4%	618	1,693		
West Virginia	754	147	19.5%	256	1,745		
Wisconsin	2,656	310	11.7%	523	1,688		
Wyoming	248	33	13.4%	55	1,659		
Other areas [2]	1,594	29	1.8%	48	1,658		

[2] Includes, for example, returns filed from Army Post Office and Fleet Post Office addresses by members of the armed forces stationed overseas; returns filed by other U.S. citizens abroad; and returns filed by residents of Puerto Rico with income from sources outside Puerto Rico or with income earned as U.S. Government employees.

NOTES: (a) This table presents aggregates of all returns filed and processed through the Individual Master File (IMF) system during Calendar Year 2006, including any returns filed for tax years preceding 2005.

(b) In general, during administrative or Master File processing, taxpayer reporting discrepancies are corrected only to the extent necessary to verify the income tax liability reported. Most of the other corrections to the taxpayer records used for these statistics could not be made because of time and resource constraints. The statistics in this table should, therefore, be used with the knowledge that some of the data have not been perfected or edited for statistical purposes. (c) Classification by State was usually based on the taxpayer's home address. However, some taxpayers may have used the address of a tax lawyer, or accountant, or the address of a place of business; moreover, such addresses could each have been located in a State other than the State in which the taxpayer resided.

(d) For explanation of the tax law changes which could affect the year-to-year analysis of data, refer to the respective years' "Individual Income Tax Returns, Preliminary Data" article published in the SOI Winter Bulletin. For further explanation of the tax terms, refer to the "Individual Income Tax Returns," Publication 1304.

SOURCE: IRS, Statistics of Income Division, Individual Master File System, January 2007.

Earned Income Tax Credit by State, Tax Year 2004

	<u> </u>							
	Total	Number of		Amount of	Average			
	number of	returns with	Percent of	credit	credit per			
State	returns	EITC [1]	returns with	(millions of	return			
	(thousands)	(thousands)	EITC	dollars)	(dollars)			
United States	133,093	22,418	16.8%	40,716	1,816			
Alabama	1,910	491	25.7%	1,023	2,084			
Alaska	345	41	11.8%	62	1,528			
Arizona	2,373	408	17.2%	739	1,813			
Arkansas	1,136	282	24.8%	546	1,939			
California	15,327	2,507	16.4%	4,449	1,775			
Colorado	2,110	270	12.8%	440	1,628			
Connecticut	1,665	170	10.2%	273	1,608			
Delaware	396	58	14.7%	101	1,750			
District of Columbia	278	50	18.0%	86	1,726			
Florida	8,173	1,615	19.8%	2,934	1,817			
Georgia	3,783	865	22.9%	1,732	2,002			
Hawaii	606	89	14.6%	141	1,594			
Idaho	594	103	17.4%	179	1,732			
Illinois	5,763	868	15.1%	1,577	1,817			
Indiana	2,855	435	15.2%	757	1,740			
lowa	1,334	173	13.0%	280	1,615			
Kansas	1,229	179	14.5%	306	1,712			
Kentucky	1,758	345	19.7%	609	1,763			
Louisiana	1,869	539	28.9%	1,156	2,143			
Maine	619	87 350	14.1% 13.3%	138 597	1,583			
Maryland	2,636				1,709			
Massachusetts	3,061	314	10.2% 14.5%	493	1,571			
Michigan	4,561	663	14.5%	1,169 412	1,764			
Minnesota	2,408	264 374		797	1,562			
Mississippi	1,166	374 444	32.1% 17.2%		2,130			
Missouri Montana	2,586 440	75	17.2%	784 123	1,766			
Nebraska	809	112	17.0%	123	1,649 1,691			
Nevada	1,093	164	15.0%	276	1,684			
New Hampshire	643	63	9.9%	97	1,536			
New Jersev	4.107	497	12.1%	858	1,727			
New Mexico	827	200	24.1%	364	1,826			
New York	8,625	1,507	17.5%	2.673	1,774			
North Carolina	3,770	766	20.3%	1,434	1,872			
North Dakota	305	40	13.1%	65	1,611			
Ohio	5,447	799	14.7%	1,403	1,755			
Oklahoma	1.476	316	21.4%	585	1,850			
Oregon	1,604	230	14.3%	376	1,631			
Pennsylvania	5.811	783	13.5%	1.304	1,667			
Rhode Island	500	66	13.3%	113	1,703			
South Carolina	1,844	431	23.4%	824	1,911			
South Dakota	362	56	15.4%	93	1,657			
Tennessee	2.607	551	21.2%	1.022	1,853			
Texas	9,432	2,221	23.5%	4,510	2,031			
Utah	996	143	14.4%	249	1,744			
Vermont	306	38	12.6%	57	1,492			
Virginia	3,491	500	14.3%	875	1,748			
Washington	2,861	364	12.7%	601	1,653			
West Virginia	748	145	19.4%	248	1,703			
Wisconsin	2,621	299	11.4%	487	1,626			
Wyoming	244	34	13.9%	55	1,612			
Other areas [2]	1,580	35	2.2%	55	1,568			
	.,,,,,,				.,			

[2] Includes, for example, returns filed from Army Post Office and Fleet Post Office addresses by members of the armed forces stationed overseas; returns filed by other U.S. citizens abroad; and returns filed by residents of Puerto Rico with income from sources outside Puerto Rico or with income earned as U.S. Government employees.

NOTES: (a) This table presents aggregates of all returns filed and processed through the Individual Master File (IMF) system during Calendar Year 2005, including any returns filed for tax years preceding 2004.

(b) In general, during administrative or Master File processing, taxpayer reporting discrepancies are corrected only to the extent necessary to verify the income tax liability reported. Most of the other corrections to the taxpayer records used for these statistics could not be made because of time and resource constraints. The statistics in this table should, therefore, be used with the knowledge that some of the data have not been perfected or edited for statistical purposes.

(c) Classification by State was usually based on the taxpayer's home address. However, some taxpayers may have used the address of a tax lawyer, or accountant, or the address of a place of business; moreover, such addresses could each have been located in a State other than the State in which the taxpayer resided.

(d) For explanation of the tax law changes which could affect the year-to-year analysis of data, refer to the respective years' "Individual Income Tax Returns, Preliminary Data" article published in the SOI Winter Bulletin. For further explanation of the tax terms, refer to the "Individual Income Tax Returns," Publication 1304.

SOURCE: IRS, Statistics of Income Division, Individual Master File System, January 2006.

Earned Income Tax Credit by State, Tax Year 2003

	Total	Number of		Amount of	Average
	number of	returns with	Percent of	credit	credit per
State	returns	EITC [1]	returns with	(millions of	return
	(thousands)	(thousands)	EITC	dollars)	(dollars)
United States	131,357	22,112	16.8%	39,186	1,772
Alabama	1,884	485	25.7%	981	2,024
Alaska	343	39	11.3%	58	1,495
Arizona	2,285	400	17.5%	710	1,776
Arkansas	1,122	278	24.8%	529	1,900
California	15,172	2,510	16.5%	4,382	1,746
Colorado	2,079	267	12.9%	426	1,593
Connecticut	1,654	168	10.2%	263	1,565
Delaware	388	57	14.7%	97	1,704
District of Columbia	276	52	18.8%	87	1,682
Florida	7,850	1,576	20.1%	2,807	1,782
Georgia	3,709	845	22.8%	1,643	1,944
Hawaii	591	86	14.5%	133	1,553
Idaho	578	101	17.5%	172	1,695
Illinois	5,723	855	14.9%	1,501	1,755
Indiana	2,817	426	15.1%	718	1,687
lowa	1,325	172	13.0%	271	1,571
Kansas	1,219	177	14.6%	295	1,664
Kentucky	1,741	343	19.7%	589	1,718
Louisiana	1,880	535	28.5%	1,116	2,086
Maine	615	87	14.1%	135	1,556
Maryland	2,602	350	13.4%	586	1,676
Massachusetts	3,052	308	10.1%	468	1,521
Michigan	4,546	645	14.2%	1,102	1,708
Minnesota	2,384	259	10.8%	397	1,535
Mississippi	1,170	373	31.9%	777	2,085
Missouri	2,564	436 74	17.0% 17.2%	750	1,720
Montana	434 803	110	17.2%	121 181	1,624
Nebraska		-			1,641
Nevada	1,044 635	160 62	15.3% 9.8%	265 93	1,654 1,498
New Hampshire New Jersev	4.082	496	12.1%	838	1,496
New Jersey New Mexico	4,082 814	199	24.4%	357	,
New York	8,590	1,497	17.4%	2.601	1,795 1,738
North Carolina	3.681	751	20.4%	1,374	1,730
North Dakota	302	40	13.2%	63	1,585
Ohio	5.444	787	14.4%	1,344	1,709
Oklahoma	1,461	314	21.5%	567	1,809
Oregon	1,572	225	14.3%	360	1,602
Pennsylvania	5.772	773	13.4%	1.255	1,623
Rhode Island	498	65	13.1%	1,233	1,646
South Carolina	1,805	424	23.5%	790	1,864
South Dakota	357	55	15.5%	90	1,628
Tennessee	2,565	547	21.3%	988	1,807
Texas	9,299	2,162	23.2%	4,254	1,968
Utah	9,299	140	14.4%	238	1,701
Vermont	302	38	12.7%	56	1,701
Virginia	3,432	500	14.6%	857	1,712
Washington	2,809	355	12.6%	573	1,712
West Virginia	744	146	19.6%	243	1,667
Wisconsin	2.590	293	11.3%	465	1,585
Wyoming	2,590	293 34	14.3%	465 55	1,585
Other areas [2]	1,546	37	2.4%	59	1,602
Ottiel aleas [2]	1,040	3/	2.47/0	59	1,002

[2] Includes, for example, returns filed from Army Post Office and Fleet Post Office addresses by members of the armed forces stationed overseas; returns filed by other U.S. citizens abroad; and returns filed by residents of Puerto Rico with income from sources outside Puerto Rico or with income earned as U.S. Government employees.

NOTES: (a) This table presents aggregates of all returns filed and processed through the Individual Master File (IMF) system during Calendar Year 2004, including any returns filed for tax years preceding 2003.

(b) In general, during administrative or Master File processing, taxpayer reporting discrepancies are corrected only to the extent necessary to verify the income tax liability reported. Most of the other corrections to the taxpayer records used for these statistics could not be made because of time and resource constraints. The statistics in this table should, therefore, be used with the knowledge that some of the data have not been perfected or edited for statistical purposes.

(c) Classification by State was usually based on the taxpayer's home address. However, some taxpayers may have used the address of a tax lawyer, or accountant, or the address of a place of business; moreover, such addresses could each have been located in a State other than the State in which the taxpayer resided.

(d) For explanation of the tax law changes which could affect the year-to-year analysis of data, refer to the respective years' "Individual Income Tax Returns, Preliminary Data" article published in the SOI Winter Bulletin. For further explanation of the tax terms, refer to the "Individual Income Tax Returns," Publication 1304.

SOURCE: IRS, Statistics of Income Spring Bulletin, Publication 1136, Revised June 2005, Historical Table 2, and the Individual Master File System.

Earned Income Tax Credit by State, Tax Year 2002

	Total	Number of		Amount of	Average
	number of	returns with	Percent of	credit	credit per
State	returns	EITC [1]	returns with	(millions of	return
	(thousands)	(thousands)	EITC	dollars)	(dollars)
United States	130,836	21,574	16.5%	37,787	1,751
Alabama	1,883	478	25.4%	946	1,980
Alaska	336	37	10.9%	54	1,469
Arizona	2.220	386	17.4%	681	1,765
Arkansas	1,120	276	24.6%	516	1,873
California	15,089	2,479	16.4%	4,319	1,743
Colorado	2,082	257	12.3%	404	1,574
Connecticut	1,663	163	9.8%	251	1,546
Delaware	384	55	14.4%	94	1,701
District of Columbia	278	53	19.0%	89	1,677
Florida	7,738	1,522	19.7%	2,680	1,761
Georgia	3,660	814	22.2%	1,551	1,904
Hawaii	585	84	14.3%	128	1,532
Idaho	570	98	17.1%	165	1,688
Illinois	5,736	837	14.6%	1,437	1,717
Indiana	2,819	415	14.7%	692	1,668
lowa	1,327	168	12.6%	263	1,564
Kansas	1,221	171	14.0%	282	1,646
Kentucky	1,742	335	19.2%	569	1,697
Louisiana	1,879	525	27.9%	1,075	2,047
Maine	613	85	13.9%	133	1.561
Maryland	2,590	342	13.2%	565	1,654
Massachusetts	3.076	296	9.6%	446	1,506
Michigan	4,555	627	13.8%	1,058	1,687
Minnesota	2,381	248	10.4%	378	1,523
Mississippi	1,164	370	31.8%	760	2,057
Missouri	2,559	424	16.6%	722	1,703
Montana	430	73	17.1%	119	1,622
Nebraska	804	108	13.5%	177	1,631
Nevada	1.004	153	15.3%	251	1,638
New Hampshire	634	60	9.5%	90	1,491
New Jersev	4.073	487	12.0%	812	1,667
New Mexico	805	195	24.3%	347	1,773
New York	8,614	1,479	17.2%	2,566	1,735
North Carolina	3,638	728	20.0%	1,310	1,798
North Dakota	301	40	13.2%	63	1,571
Ohio	5,477	767	14.0%	1,290	1,681
Oklahoma	1,467	307	20.9%	546	1,782
Oregon	1,573	221	14.0%	353	1,596
Pennsylvania	5,777	752	13.0%	1,213	1,612
Rhode Island	498	64	12.9%	106	1,639
South Carolina	1,795	416	23.2%	764	1,835
South Dakota	356	55	15.3%	88	1,621
Tennessee	2,552	533	20.9%	946	1,775
Texas	9,226	2,095	22.7%	4,044	1,930
Utah	961	132	13.8%	223	1,680
Vermont	302	37	12.3%	55	1,481
Virginia	3,392	487	14.3%	824	1,694
Washington	2,793	344	12.3%	551	1,602
West Virginia	748	144	19.3%	238	1,654
Wisconsin	2,584	285	11.0%	448	1,573
Wyoming	239	34	14.0%	53	1,590
Other areas	1,526	34	2.2%	53	1,561

NOTES: (a) This table presents aggregates of all returns filed and processed through the Individual Master File (IMF) system during Calendar Year 2003. In general, during administrative or Master File processing, taxpayer reporting discrepancies are corrected only to the extent necessary to verify the income tax liability reported. Most of the other corrections to the taxpayer records used for these statistics could not be made because of time and resource constraints. The statistics in this table should, therefore, be used with the knowledge that some of the data have not been perfected or edited for statistical purposes.

(b) Classification by State was usually based on the taxpayer's home address. However, some taxpayers may have used the address of a tax lawyer or accountant or the address of a place of business; moreover, such addresses could each have been located in a State other than the State in which the taxpayer resided.

SOURCE: Internal Revenue Service, Information Services, Martinsburg Computing Center, Master File Service Support Branch. Unpublished data. October 2004.

Earned Income Tax Credit by State, Tax Year 2001

		1			
	Total	Number of		Amount of	Average
0	number of	returns with	Percent of	credit	credit per
State	returns	EITC [1]	returns with	(millions of	return
	(thousands)	(thousands)	EITC	dollars)	(dollars)
United States	130,977	19,657	15.0%	33,244	1,691
Alabama	1,893	19,657	23.6%	33,244 850	1,900
Alaska	333	32	9.5%	43	1,355
Arizona	2,201	346	15.7%	589	1,702
Arkansas	1,121	254	22.6%	458	1,804
California	15,067	2,290	15.2%	3.871	1,691
Colorado	2,109	2,230	10.8%	342	1,507
Connecticut	1,679	147	8.8%	223	1,511
Delaware	381	50	13.0%	82	1,645
District of Columbia	282	51	18.2%	84	1,640
Florida	7,630	1,371	18.0%	2,323	1,694
Georgia	3,656	739	20.2%	1,352	1,828
Hawaii	578	71	12.3%	103	1,442
Idaho	564	85	15.1%	139	1,626
Illinois	5,775	770	13.3%	1,279	1,661
Indiana	2,824	374	13.2%	601	1,606
lowa	1,338	148	11.1%	222	1,501
Kansas	1,226	148	12.1%	234	1,580
Kentucky	1,759	305	17.3%	496	1,629
Louisiana	1,881	488	26.0%	965	1,976
Maine	611	76	12.5%	116	1,516
Maryland	2,583	312	12.1%	500	1,600
Massachusetts	3,106	269	8.7%	394	1,465
Michigan	4,585	572	12.5%	933	1,630
Minnesota	2,385	218	9.1%	321	1,472
Mississippi	1,165	347	29.8%	688	1,980
Missouri	2,566	385	15.0%	631	1,642
Montana	427	66	15.4%	103	1,566
Nebraska	806	94	11.7%	148	1,568
Nevada	981	136	13.8%	214	1,576
New Hampshire	633	53	8.3%	76	1,446
New Jersey	4,088	453	11.1%	734	1,622
New Mexico	850	183	21.5%	309	1,693
New York	8,667	1,383	16.0%	2,360	1,707
North Carolina	3,649	661	18.1%	1,138	1,722
North Dakota	301	35	11.7%	54	1,516
Ohio	5,548	698	12.6%	1,131	1,620
Oklahoma	1,473	276	18.7%	470	1,707
Oregon	1,572	201	12.8%	313	1,554
Pennsylvania	5,790	689	11.9%	1,075	1,561
Rhode Island	496	59	11.8%	92	1,563
South Carolina	1,799	386	21.4%	680	1,765
South Dakota	355	48	13.5%	75	1,560
Tennessee	2,558	490	19.1%	833	1,700
Texas	9,203	1,906	20.7%	3,528	1,851
Utah	955	112	11.7%	180	1,602
Vermont	301	33	11.0%	47	1,426
Virginia	3,373	436	12.9%	711	1,631
Washington	2,789	308	11.0%	476	1,546
West Virginia	750	133	17.8%	213	1,597
Wisconsin	2,590	253	9.7% 12.7%	386 47	1,527
Wyoming Other green	239	30 14	0.9%	47 14	1,543
Other areas	1,485	14	0.9%	14	1,025

[1] "Earned income credit" includes both the refundable and non-refundable portions. The nonrefundable portion could reduce income tax and certain related taxes to zero; credit amounts in excess of tax, or amounts when there was no tax liability at all, were refundable. NOTES: (a) Details may not add to totals because of rounding.

SOURCE: Internal Revenue Service, Information Services, Martinsburg Computing Center, Master File Service Support Branch. Unpublished data. April 2003.

⁽b) These data include Tax Year 2000 returns that were filed after December 31, 2001, for those taxpayers who were granted an additional extension of time to file because of the events of September 11, 2001.

⁽c) This table presents aggregates of all returns filed and processed through the Individual Master File (IMF) system during Calendar Year 2002. In general, during administrative or Master File processing, taxpayer reporting discrepancies are corrected only to the extent necessary to verify the income tax liability reported. Most of the other corrections to the taxpayer records used for these statistics could not be made because of time and resource constraints. The statistics in this table should, therefore, be used with the knowledge that some of the data have not been perfected or edited for statistical purposes.

⁽d) Classification by State was usually based on the taxpayer's home address. However, some taxpayers may have used the address of a tax lawyer or accountant or the address of a place of business; moreover, such addresses could each have been located in a State other than the State in which the taxpayer resided.

Earned Income Tax Credit by State, Tax Year 2000

		1			
	Total	Number of		Amount of	Average
01-1-	number of	returns with	Percent of	credit	credit per
State	returns	EITC [1]	returns with	(millions of	return
	(thousands)	(thousands)	EITC	dollars)	(dollars)
United States	130,122	19,166	14.7%	31,773	1,658
Alabama	1,904	443	23.3%	819	1,848
Alaska	329	30	9.2%	40	1,316
Arizona	2,153	335	15.6%	563	1,679
Arkansas	1,118	249	22.2%	440	1,767
California	14,867	2,266	15.2%	3.778	1,668
Colorado	2,096	2,200	10.6%	3,776	1,480
Connecticut	1,672	143	8.6%	214	1,494
Delaware	378	48	12.8%	79	1,626
District of Columbia	279	51	18.4%	84	1,635
Florida	7,499	1,317	17.6%	2,181	1,656
Georgia	3,637	717	19.7%	1,271	1,772
Hawaii	572	68	11.8%	94	1,399
Idaho	559	81	14.5%	130	1,595
Illinois	5,787	755	13.1%	1,228	1,626
Indiana	2,837	361	12.7%	570	1,577
lowa	1,351	144	10.6%	213	1,480
Kansas	1,223	144	11.8%	224	1,551
Kentucky	1,747	299	17.1%	478	1,595
Louisiana	1,874	482	25.7%	922	1,913
Maine	606	75	12.4%	112	1,492
Maryland	2,563	311	12.1%	493	1,585
Massachusetts	3,110	264	8.5%	385	1,459
Michigan	4,620	550	11.9%	880	1,600
Minnesota	2,386	210	8.8%	305	1,454
Mississippi	1,173	345	29.4%	664	1,922
Missouri	2,565	378	14.7%	610	1,612
Montana	424	65	15.2%	100	1,553
Nebraska	809	91	11.2%	140	1,545
Nevada	954	129	13.5%	198	1,535
New Hampshire	629	51	8.2%	73	1,426
New Jersey	4,067	440	10.8%	701	1,593
New Mexico	728	174	23.9%	291	1,673
New York	8,577	1,326	15.5%	2,203	1,661
North Carolina	3,636	642	17.7%	1,078	1,679
North Dakota	303	35	11.6%	52	1,485
Ohio	5,575	676	12.1%	1,074	1,588
Oklahoma	1,465	273	18.7%	458	1,676
Oregon	1,562	193	12.4%	297	1,538
Pennsylvania	5,806	674	11.6%	1,041	1,544
Rhode Island	494	58	11.7%	89	1,528
South Carolina	1,802	376	20.9%	648	1,722
South Dakota	355	47	13.2%	72	1,527
Tennessee	2,567	479	18.7%	794	1,657
Texas	9,052	1,856	20.5%	3,362	1,812
Utah	942	108	11.4%	170	1,585
Vermont	299	33	10.9%	46	1,420
Virginia	3,338	427	12.8%	687	1,609
Washington	2,773	300	10.8%	456	1,521
West Virginia	750 2.507	133	17.8%	209	1,570
Wisconsin	2,597	243	9.4%	368	1,511
Wyoming Other green	235 1,474	31 15	13.0% 1.0%	46 15	1,518 992
Other areas	1,474	15	1.0%	15	992

[1] "Earned income credit" includes both the refundable and non-refundable portions. The nonrefundable portion could reduce income tax and certain related taxes to zero; credit amounts in excess of tax, or amounts when there was no tax liability at all, were refundable. NOTES: (a) Details may not add to totals because of rounding.

SOURCE: Internal Revenue Service, Information Services, Martinsburg Computing Center, Master File Service Support Branch. Unpublished data.

⁽b) These data include Tax Year 2000 returns that were filed after December 31, 2001, for those taxpayers who were granted an additional extension of time to file because of the events of September 11, 2001.

⁽c) This table presents aggregates of all returns filed and processed through the Individual Master File (IMF) system during Calendar Year 2001. In general, during administrative or Master File processing, taxpayer reporting discrepancies are corrected only to the extent necessary to verify the income tax liability reported. Most of the other corrections to the taxpayer records used for these statistics could not be made because of time and resource constraints. The statistics in this table should, therefore, be used with the knowledge that some of the data have not been perfected or edited for statistical purposes.

⁽d) Classification by State was usually based on the taxpayer's home address. However, some taxpayers may have used the address of a tax lawyer or accountant or the address of a place of business; moreover, such addresses could each have been located in a State other than the State in which the taxpayer resided.

Earned Income Tax Credit by State, Tax Year 1999

	Total	Number of		Amount of	Average
	number of	returns with	Percent of	credit	credit per
State	returns	EITC [1]	returns with	(millions of	return
	(thousands)	(thousands)	EITC	dollars)	(dollars)
United States	127,668	19,207	15.0%	31,479	1,639
Alabama	1,898	440	23.2%	798	1,814
Alaska	328	30	9.3%	40	1,319
Arizona	2,088	337	16.1%	562	1,670
Arkansas	1,109	247	22.3%	432	1,748
California	14,510	2,335	16.1%	3,869	1,657
Colorado	2,030	227	11.2%	336	1,479
Connecticut	1,646	145	8.8%	214	1,482
Delaware	371	49	13.1%	78	1,608
District of Columbia	274	52	18.9%	84	1,633
Florida	7,264	1,289	17.7%	2,118	1,643
Georgia	3,555	705	19.8%	1,228	1,743
Hawaii	559	67	11.9%	92	1,377
Idaho	546	82	15.0%	130	1,584
Illinois	5,714	752	13.2%	1,207	1,605
Indiana	2,804	357	12.7%	557	1,561
lowa	1,345	144	10.7%	211	1,471
Kansas	1,212	144	11.9%	222	1,543
Kentucky	1,720	299	17.4%	471	1,578
Louisiana	1,860	480	25.8%	901	1,876
Maine	595	77	13.0%	114	1,475
Maryland	2,499	315	12.6%	498	1,580
Massachusetts	3,049	272	8.9%	392	1,441
Michigan	4,557	548	12.0%	868	1,584
Minnesota	2,341	211	9.0%	305	1,450
Mississippi	1,171	343	29.3%	651	1,895
Missouri	2,530	379	15.0%	609	1,604
Montana	417	65	15.5%	99	1,531
Nebraska	804	92	11.4%	140	1,527
Nevada	913	126	13.8%	191	1,520
New Hampshire	612	53	8.7%	76	1,421
New Jersey	3,988	441	11.1%	695	1,576
New Mexico	777	179	23.0%	293	1,639
New York	8,418	1,308	15.5%	2,107	1,611
North Carolina	3,578	639	17.9%	1,060	1,658
North Dakota	302	36	11.8%	52	1,473
Ohio	5,527	673	12.2%	1,054	1,565
Oklahoma	1,445	275	19.0%	456	1,663
Oregon	1,534	194	12.7%	297	1,531
Pennsylvania	5,725	680	11.9%	1,042	1,532
Rhode Island	482	58	12.1%	87	1,493
South Carolina	1,776	372	20.9%	636	1,709
South Dakota	351	47	13.5%	72	1,529
Tennessee	2,537	475	18.7%	779	1,640
Texas	8,837	1,867	21.1%	3,347	1,793
Utah	919	108	11.7%	170	1,578
Vermont	294	34	11.7%	48	1,409
Virginia	3,262	431	13.2%	692	1,605
Washington	2,713	303	11.2%	456	1,504
West Virginia	745	135	18.1%	211	1,563
Wisconsin	2,560	242	9.5%	364	1,503
Wyoming	232	31	13.4%	47	1,513
Other areas [2]	1,350	18	1.4%	18	965

^{[1] &}quot;Earned income credit" includes both the refundable and non-refundable portions. The non-refundable portion could reduce income tax and certain related taxes to zero; credit amounts in excess of tax, or amounts when there was no tax liability at all, were refundable.

^[2] Includes, for example, returns filed from Army Post Office and Fleet Post Office addresses by members of the armed forces stationed overseas; returns filed by other U.S. citizens abroad; and returns filed by residents of Puerto Rico with income from sources outside Puerto Rico or with income earned as U.S. Government employees.

NOTES: (a) Details may not add to totals because of rounding.

⁽b)This table presents aggregates of all returns filed and processed through the Individual Master File (IMF) system during Calendar Year 2000. In general, during administrative or Master File processing, taxpayer reporting discrepancies are corrected only to the extent necessary to verify the income tax liability reported. Most of the other corrections to the taxpayer records used for these statistics could not be made because of time and resource constraints. The statistics in this table should, therefore, be used with the knowledge that some of the data have not been perfected or edited for statistical purposes.

⁽c) Classification by State was usually based on the taxpayer's home address. However, some taxpayers may have used the address of a tax lawyer or accountant or the address of a place of business; moreover, such addresses could each have been located in a State other than the State in which the taxpayer resided.

SOURCE: Internal Revenue Service, Information Services, Martinsburg Computing Center, Master File Service Support Branch. Unpublished data.

Earned Income Tax Credit by State, Tax Year 1998

	T =				•
	Total	Number of	Doroont of	Amount of	Average
Ctoto	number of	returns with EITC	Percent of	credit	credit per return
State	returns	_	returns with	(millions of	
	(thousands)	(thousands)	EITC	dollars)	(dollars)
United States	125,394	19,442	15.5%	30,812	1,585
Alabama	1,880	443	23.6%	784	1,768
Alaska	329	31	9.4%	40	1,305
Arizona	2,037	343	16.8%	557	1,624
Arkansas	1,096	250	22.8%	426	1,701
California	14,197	2,374	16.7%	3,800	1,601
Colorado	1,974	236	11.9%	338	1,433
Connecticut	1,626	146	9.0%	208	1,421
Delaware	364	50	13.7%	78	1,561
District of Columbia	272	53	19.3%	82	1,550
Florida	7,076	1,283	18.1%	2,048	1,596
Georgia	3,471	703	20.3%	1,192	1,695
Hawaii	554	67	12.1%	88	1,310
Idaho	534	84	15.7%	129	1,536
Illinois	5,636	754	13.4%	1,161	1,540
Indiana	2,765	364	13.2%	554	1,521
lowa	1,335	149	11.2%	213	1,429
Kansas	1,200	147	12.2%	221	1,504
Kentucky	1,694	304	17.9%	466	1,533
Louisiana	1,847	476	25.8%	865	1,817
Maine	584	81	13.8%	115	1,423
Maryland	2,460	325	13.2%	495	1,526
Massachusetts	3,011	280	9.3%	386	1,377
Michigan	4,498	562	12.5%	858	1,526
Minnesota	2,298	218	9.5%	306	1,405
Mississippi	1,154	344	29.8%	637	1,851
Missouri	2,492	385	15.5%	601	1,560
Montana	410	66	16.1%	98	1,485
Nebraska	796	94	11.8%	139	1,479
Nevada	874	126	14.4%	184	1,464
New Hampshire	599	56	9.4%	77	1,379
New Jersey	3,924	446	11.4%	675	1,513
New Mexico	768	179	23.4%	286	1,592
New York	8,268	1,302	15.8%	2,006	1,540
North Carolina	3,516	643	18.3%	1,036	1,611
North Dakota	300	37	12.3%	53	1,436
Ohio	5,473	688	12.6%	1,040	1,511
Oklahoma	1,436	283	19.7%	452	1,598
Oregon	1,522	202	13.3%	299	1,478
Pennsylvania	5,654	693	12.2%	1,016	1,466
Rhode Island	472	59	12.5%	84	1,430
South Carolina	1,748	374	21.4%	625	1,670
South Dakota	344	48	14.0%	72	1,489
Tennessee	2,492	479	19.2%	766	1,597
Texas	8,694	1,879	21.6%	3,273	1,741
Utah	896	109	12.1%	167	1,530
Vermont	288	36	12.5%	49	1,369
Virginia	3,198	441	13.8%	688	1,558
Washington	2,670	311	11.7%	447	1,438
West Virginia	740	138	18.7%	209	1,511
Wisconsin	2,514	249	9.9%	365	1,466
Wyoming	229	32	14.2%	48	1,464
Other areas [1]	1,185	19	1.6%	18	916

^[1] Includes, for example, returns filed from Army Post Office and Fleet Post Office addresses by members of the armed forces stationed overseas; returns filed by other U.S. citizens abroad; and returns filed by residents of Puerto Rico with income from sources outside Puerto Rico or with income earned as U.S. Government employees.

NOTE: Details may not add to totals because of rounding.

 ${\bf SOURCE: Internal\ Revenue\ Service,\ Information\ Services,\ Martinsburg\ Computing\ Center,}$

Development Center Branch. Unpublished Data.

Earned Income Tax Credit by State, Tax Year 1997

	Total	Number of		Amount of	Average
	number of	returns with	Percent of	credit	credit per
State	returns	EITC	returns with	(millions of	return
	(thousands)	(thousands)	EITC	dollars)	(dollars)
United States	123,057	19,818	16.1%	30,014	1,515
Alabama	1,864	460	24.7%	777	1,689
Alaska	343	30	8.9%	37	1,230
Arizona	1,967	347	17.7%	540	1,555
Arkansas	1,081	257	23.8%	421	1,639
California	13,837	2,412	17.4%	3,678	1,525
Colorado Connecticut	1,898	242 147	12.7% 9.2%	334 196	1,382 1,336
Delaware	1,602 356	51	14.3%	76	1,495
District of Columbia	268	54	20.0%	80	1,495
Florida	6,898	1,310	19.0%	1,998	1,525
Georgia	3,378	711	21.1%	1,153	1,621
Hawaii	552	66	12.0%	82	1,226
Idaho	521	84	16.1%	124	1,479
Illinois	5,553	766	13.8%	1,126	1,470
Indiana	2,724	374	13.7%	547	1,461
Iowa	1,316	154	11.7%	212	1,382
Kansas	1,177	149	12.7%	216	1,450
Kentucky	1,665	309	18.6%	454	1,468
Louisiana	1,825	491	26.9%	852	1,734
Maine	574	83	14.4%	113	1,360
Maryland	2,522	337	13.4%	491	1,457
Massachusetts	2,959	285	9.6%	368	1,288
Michigan	4,428	574	13.0%	826	1,440
Minnesota	2,240	222	9.9%	296	1,334
Mississippi	1,139	359	31.5%	637	1,772
Missouri	2,452	393	16.0%	590	1,501
Montana	404	67	16.5%	95	1,420
Nebraska Nevada	785 838	97 125	12.3% 14.9%	138 177	1,430 1,420
New Hampshire	586	58	10.0%	77	1,420
New Jersey	3,862	455	11.8%	654	1,436
New Mexico	756	182	24.1%	277	1,519
New York	8,113	1,303	16.1%	1,885	1,447
North Carolina	3,460	665	19.2%	1,030	1,549
North Dakota	300	38	12.7%	53	1,379
Ohio	5,431	702	12.9%	1,008	1,435
Oklahoma	1,414	288	20.4%	443	1,540
Oregon	1,501	205	13.6%	289	1,413
Pennsylvania	5,585	708	12.7%	980	1,384
Rhode Island	464	60	13.0%	81	1,344
South Carolina	1,719	384	22.3%	616	1,604
South Dakota	340	49	14.5%	71	1,440
Tennessee	2,456	494	20.1%	759	1,537
Texas	8,456	1,908	22.6%	3,212	1,684
Utah	876	112	12.8%	166	1,478
Vermont	282	38	13.3%	49 670	1,315
Virginia Washington	3,030	448 308	14.8%	670	1,496
Washington West Virginia	2,609	308	11.8%	418	1,359
Wisconsin	730 2,477	140 260	19.1% 10.5%	201 369	1,443 1,418
Wyoming	2,477	34	14.9%	48	1,416
Other areas [1]	1,217	22	1.8%	20	916
Caron areas [1]	1,411		1.070	20	310

NOTE: Details may not add to totals because of rounding.

SOURCE: Internal Revenue Service, Information Services, Martinsburg Computing Center,
Development Center Branch. Unpublished Data.